



NC: 2026:KHC:14297
WP No. 28789 of 2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 10TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS**

WRIT PETITION NO. 28789 OF 2025 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

SMT. NARAYANAMMA
D/O MUNISHAMI
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS
RESIDING AT HARALAKUNTE
VILLAGE, HURTHUR HOBLI
KOLAR TALUK,
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563 101.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI ROHITH R KUMAR, ADV.)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
M.S. BUILDING, BENGALURU - 560 001.



2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOLAR, KOLAR TALUK
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563 101.
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
KOLAR, KOLAR TALUK
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563 101.
4. THE TAHSILDAR
KOLAR TALUK
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563 101.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. B.P RADHA, AGA)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE R-1 TO 4 TO IMPLEMENT AND TO SHOW THE NAME OF THE PETITIONER HAS KATHA HOLDER OF THE PROPERTY IN COLUMN NO.9 AND 11 AS PER REPRESENTATION DATED 05.04.2024 ANNEX-K AND ALSO IN ALL OTHER REVENUE RECORDS WITH IN A PRESCRIBED PERIOD.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

This is yet another case where respondent No. 4 - Tahsildar, Kolar Taluk is guilty of calling for the original records from the petitioner, who is seeking to enter her name in the RTC pursuant to the death of the petitioner's mother, who was the Kathedar. On an earlier occasion, this Court in ***W.P.38517/2025*** disposed of on ***05.02.2026***, has found that the Tahsildar called upon the petitioner therein to get the original records in the matter of mutation on the ground of succession. The Tahsildar was summoned before this Court and he took the lame excuse of the Government Order bearing No.ComE156/SSM/2024, Bengaluru, dated 25.11.2024. This Court found that the Government Order pertained to cases where first time phodi was required to be conducted and therefore, this Court held that under such circumstances where the mutation is sought on the basis of succession, the said Government Order would not apply.



2. Today, the learned Additional Government Advocate submits on instructions from respondent No.4 - Tahsildar that he has issued an Endorsement dated 06.03.2026, a copy of the same is now served on the learned counsel for petitioner. This Court finds that similar directions are issued by the Tahsildar in the Endorsement dated 06.03.2026 calling upon the petitioner to furnish the original records for verification. Such an act on the part of the Tahsildar is highly deprecable.

3. The writ petition is accordingly ***disposed of*** with a specific direction to respondent No.4 - Tahsildar to proceed to enter the name of the legal representatives of Late Smt. Lakshmamma after due verification regarding the legal heirs of the deceased kathedar as expeditiously as possible, and at any rate within a period of six [6] weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. A copy of this order shall also be forwarded to the Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, to take appropriate action against respondent No.4 - Tahsildar, Kolar Taluk in the light of the observations made herein above. A report of the action taken against respondent No.4 - Tahsildar shall also be placed before this Court within a period



**NC: 2026:KHC:14297
WP No. 28789 of 2025**

of two [2] months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**

RB