



CNR: KAHC010605352026

NC: 2026:KHC:49049
WP No. 27876 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 9TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 27876 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. MAHIMAKKA
AGED ABOUT 77 YEARS,
D/O LATE HANUMANTHAIAH,
VARADHANAYAKANAHALLI,
BENGALURU RURAL - 562105.
2. GANGAMMA
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
D/O LATE HANUMANTHAIAH,
VARADHANAYAKANAHALLI,
BENGALURU RURAL-562105.

Digitally signed by
CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

...PETITIONERS
(BY SRI UDAYA HOLLA, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI YASHAS
K., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY CHIEF SECRETARY
AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560001.
2. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BENGALURU RURAL-562105.



CNR: KAHC010605352026

NC: 2026:KHC:49049
WP No. 27876 of 2026

3. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
DODDABALLAPURA,
BENGALURU RURAL-562105.
4. TAHASILDHAR, DODDABALLAPURA,
BENGALURU RURAL - 562105.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE PETITIONERS REPRESENTATION DATED 30.10.2025 AND 02.03.2026 IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW AND IN THE LIGHT OF THE REPORTS ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENTS VIDE ANNEX-A AND B AND ETC.,.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for the respondents.

2. Heard Sri Udaya Holla, learned Senior Counsel appearing for Sri Yashas K, learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondents.



3. It is contended by the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners, by referring to the RTC extracts produced at Annexures-T series, that a temporary grant has been made in favour of the petitioners, however, the said temporary grant is required to be confirmed by the respondent-Authorities and the grant is required to be made permanent in terms of Rule 12 of the Karnataka Land Grant Rules, 1969. Accordingly, learned Senior Counsel seeks a direction to respondents to consider the representations submitted by the petitioners at Annexures-A to C.

4. It is forthcoming from the writ papers that the temporary grant was made in favour of late Kariyappa, son of late Hanumanthaiah, and Hanumanthaiah, son of late Hanumanthaiah, on 11.04.1942. A perusal of the writ papers further indicates that the names of the predecessors-in-interest of the petitioners, as well as the names of the petitioners, have been continued in the RTC



CNR: KAHC010605352026

NC: 2026:KHC:49049
WP No. 27876 of 2026

extracts in respect of the land in question in which the temporary grant has been made.

5. In that view of the matter, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondents are directed to consider the representations at Annexures-A to C, in terms of Rule 12 of the Karnataka Land Grant Rules, 1969. While considering the said representations, respondents shall also take into consideration the fact that the temporary grant order was made on 11.04.1942, particularly in the light of Rule 12 of the Karnataka Land Grant Rules, 1969, and thereafter take a decision in the matter, in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With this observation, the writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

BKM List No.: 1 SI No.: 34