



CNR: KAHC010521722023

NC: 2026:KHC:38626
CRL.RP No. 1316 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1316 OF 2023

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. RAVIKUMAR,
S/O MURTHY,
AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS
R/AT MANGANAHALLI VILLAGE,
YESHWANTHPURA HOBLI,
SULIKERE POST,
BENGALURU NORTH TALUK-560060.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.M.IRISHAD AHAMED, ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed
by DEVIKA M
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

1. SRI. A.V. BALAKRISHNA,
S/O LATE VENKATAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS,
R/AT NO.37/1, 1ST FLOOR,
2ND BLOCK, NETHAJI ROAD,
THYAGARAJANAGAR,
BENGALURU-560028.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. M. MADHUSUDAN, ADVOCATE)

THIS CRL.RP IS FILED UNDER SECTION 397 R/W 401 OF
CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER
DATED 20.01.2020 PASSED BY THE XVI ADDITIONAL CHIEF
METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE BENGALURU IN



CNR: KAHC010521722023

NC: 2026:KHC:38626
CRL.RP No. 1316 of 2023

C.C.NO.6861/2017 AND TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 06.07.2023 PASSED BY THE LXVIII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU CITY (CCH-69) IN CRL.A.NO.335/2020 CONFIRMING THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF TRIAL COURT AND DISMISSING THE APPEAL OF THE PETITIONER HEREIN AND TO PASS AN ORDER OF ACQUITTAL ACQUITTING THE PETITIONER/ACCUSED HEREIN OF THE CHARGES LEVELLED AGAINST HIM.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ADMISSION THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

ORAL ORDER

This matter is listed for admission. Perused the grounds which have been urged in the revision petitioner and heard the learned counsel for the respondent. In the previous occasion, the learned counsel for the revision petitioner sought time on the ground that he is not keeping well and today also again seeks time.

2. On perusal of the reasoning given by the Trial Court, particularly in paragraph Nos.27 and 28, the defence was taken by the accused that he had given blank signed cheques to the complainant as per his assurance at the time of borrowing loan amount of Rs.6,00,000/- from him and though he has repaid the amount of Rs.4,00,000/- to him and the



CNR: KAHC010521722023

complainant was agreed to receive the remaining amount of Rs.2,00,000/- within three months and though he has not issued the cheques in question towards the discharge of amount of Rs.7,25,000/-, but the two old blank cheques issued by him as security have been misused by the complainant by filling the date and amount on the cheques and filed the false case against him. The said defence remained as defence only and nothing is elicited from the mouth of P.W.1 during the course of cross-examination. The evidence which was led before the Court by examining D.W.1 and D.W.2, not substantiated the same and no satisfactory reasons are given and there is no any rebuttal evidence. The accused has not denied the disputed cheques in question as well as the signature. The contention that blank signed cheques were taken was not proved by leading any probable evidence. When such being case, I do not find any ground to entertain the revision petition and the reasoning given by the Trial Court in paragraph Nos.27 and 28 is very clear and the same is appreciated by the Appellate Court. Hence, I do not find any error on the part of both the Courts and the same not suffers



NC: 2026:KHC:38626
CRL.RP No. 1316 of 2023

CNR: KAHC010521722023

from its legality and correctness and hence, the same is not warranted to admit the revision petition.

3. Accordingly, the criminal revision petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
(H.P.SANDESH)
JUDGE

MD
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 24