



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF JANUARY, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C M JOSHI

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 980 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

1. HARSHA TEJASWINI C
W/O NAVEEN MS
AGED 32 YEARS
R/A NO.525, KAVERI APARTMENT
SHARADADEVINAGARA
MYSURU - 570 022

...COMPLAINANT

(BY DR. JOSE. P. VERGHESE, SENIOR ADVOCATE
SRI VIKAS M., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI KUMARA
INSPECTOR OF POLICE
JAILAXMIPURAM POLICE STATION
BEHIND ISHWARIA PETROL BUNK
VIJAYANAGARA, MYSORE - 570 017
2. SRI C.K. ASHWATH NARAYAN (IO)
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
NARASIMAHARAJA DIVISION
ASHOKA ROAD, LASHKAR MOHALLA
MYSURU - 570 021

...ACCUSED

Digitally
signed by
VEERENDRA
KUMAR K M

Location:
High Court of
Karnataka



3. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
HOME DEPARTMENT
VIDHANA SOUDHA
BENGALURU - 560 001

...PRO FORMA RESPONDENT

(BY SMT. NAMITHA MAHESH, AGA)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971 PRAYING TO TRY THE ACCUSED FOR THE OFFENCES COMMITTED FOR WILLFULLY DISOBEYING THE ORDER DATED 19.06.2025 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN CRIMINAL PETITION NO.13372/2023 READ WITH CRIMINAL PETITION NO.3727/2024 AND PUNISH THE ACCUSED NO.1 AND 2 IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW & ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C M JOSHI

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE)

1. The complainant has filed the present complaint alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 19.06.2025 passed by the



learned Single Judge in CrI.P.No.13372/2023 C/W
CrI.P.No.3727/2024.

2. In terms of the said order, liberty was reserved in favour of the respondent to file a fresh complaint. The operative part of the order, the disobedience to which is complained, reads as under:

ORDER

- i. Both the petitions are hereby disposed of.
- ii. The complaints dated 23.11.2023 and 20.03.2024 filed by respondent No.2 against petitioner are quashed as withdrawn.
- iii. In view of the withdrawal of the complaints dated 20.03.2024 and 23.11.2023 by respondent No.2, the consequential FIR in Cr.Nos.8/2024 and Cr.No.66/2023 are also hereby quashed.
- iv. Liberty is reserved in favour of respondent No.2 to file fresh complaint(s) which shall be considered by respondent No.1/Police in accordance with law.
- v. Liberty is also reserved in favour of respondent No.2 to submit grievances, representations, requests etc., before the Manasagangothri University, Mysore including Vice Chancellors, Grievance Committee etc., which shall consider the same and proceed further in accordance with law without any interruption, impediment or obstruction from the petitioner.
- vi. The joint submissions made by both sides, the petitioner is no longer the guide of respondent No.2 and that one



Mahadeva Murthy has been designated and nominated as the guide of respondent No.2 is placed on record.

vii. All rival contentions and all aspects of the matter are kept open, no opinion is expressed on the same.

3. The complainant alleges that the direction (iv) has been violated inasmuch as the complaint filed by the complainant has not been considered in accordance with law.

4. A compliance affidavit has been filed which indicates that the complainant had filed a complaint on 07.07.2025 alleging offences under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and an acknowledgment was issued on the same day. An FIR was registered on 21.07.2025 on the basis of the complaint. And, the same was forwarded to the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Mysuru, for further action. The complainant was also informed of the same and was called upon to approach the concerned police station to obtain the copy of the FIR. It is stated that the complainant responded by stating that she is unable to come on the date fixed and she would obtain a legal opinion before collection of the FIR. Thereafter, a copy of the FIR was sent to the complainant by registered post.



5. It is stated that after the registration of the FIR, a mahazar was conducted and statement of the witnesses were recorded.
6. Notwithstanding the above, the complainant submits that the directions issued by the learned Single Judge have been violated inasmuch as the FIR is not in accordance with law. We are unable to accept that there is any willful disobedience of the orders passed by this Court. If the complainant is aggrieved by the recording of the FIR not covering all offences, the complainant is not precluded from availing its remedies, in accordance with law.
7. The complaint is, accordingly, closed.

**Sd/-
(VIBHU BAKHRU)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**Sd/-
(C M JOSHI)
JUDGE**

KMV
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 11