



CNR: KAHC010507432026

NC: 2026:KHC:40399
WP No. 22820 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF AUGUST, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

WRIT PETITION NO. 22820 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

EXPLORING INFINITIES
EDTECH PRIVATE LIMITED
A COMPANY INCORPORATED
UNDER LAWS THE OF COMPANIES
ACT, 2013 IN INDIA
HAVING ITS CORPORATE OFFICE
AT BHANZU, NO.483,
SRINIVASA ARCADE, 17TH CROSS,
24TH MAIN ROAD, HSR LAYOUT,
BENGALURU,
KARNATAKA -560 102
THROUGH ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY
MR. SRI SAI SUBRAHMANYA SARMA VELLALA

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI.ARUN KUMAR K., SENIOR COUNSEL FOR
SMT.RASHMI DESHPANDE, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. MR. SURAJ RAVICHANDRA NAIK
A-1, 303, DHAWAL HILLS SOCIETY,
GAWAND BAUG COMPLEX,
POKHRAN ROAD NO. 2,
NEAR UPVAN LAKE THANE
WEST MAHARASHTRA- 400 610
2. MR. SAHAJ PURI
HOUSE NO. 27, 20TH MAIN ROAD,
NEAR MBR STARRY APARTMENTS,
ROYAL RESIDENCY LAYOUT,

Digitally
signed by
SUVARNA T
Location:
HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



NC: 2026:KHC:40399
WP No. 22820 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010507432026

HULIMAVU,
BENGALURU -560 076
KARNATAKA

3. MR. SAI GOVINDU BHARATH
NO. 301, 2ND FLOOR,
SMR VINAY ESTATE, NEXT TO CCD,
HORVAUE BRIDGE,
BENGALURU-560 043
KARNATAKA
4. MR. AVINASH SINHA
SOULTREE BY MODERN SPACES
NIRVANA FLAT NO.C-102,
NEAR SOMPURA GATE, SARJAPUR,
BENGALURU
KARNATAKA -562 125
5. MR. WALZEENA LAVITA D SOUZA
2-46 SILVESTER VILLA BOLLUR,
KOIKUDE POST, DAKSHINA KANNADA,
KARNATAKA -574 146
6. MR. SANSKAR JAIN
AHIRON KA MOHALLA,
PURANI TONK, TONK,
RAJASTHAN -304 001
7. MR. VAMSI KRISHNA BELLAMKONDA
H. NO. 1-19-3, NEAR RAMAMANDIRAM,
VYKUNTAPURAM, CHIRALA,
ANDHRA PRADESH-523 155
8. MS. SILITA SIMANTIKA
ROW HOUSE NO. 44, GOLDENWOODS,
KASAVANAHALLI NEAR PLAY AREA,
OFF. SARAJPUR ROAD,
BENGALURU -560 035
9. MR. MEVIN MATHEW PAULOSE



NC: 2026:KHC:40399
WP No. 22820 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010507432026

D-713, ORCHID CHS LTD.
CHAMBUR, GOVANDI (EAST),
MUMBAI- 400 088,
MAHARASHTRA

10. BRIGHT CHAMPS TECH
PRIVATE LIMITED
A COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER
THE COMPANIES ACT, 2013
CORPORATE OFFICE AT
1ST FLOOR, 36/6, HUSTLEHUB,
SOMASUNDARAPALYA MAIN ROAD,
PWD QUARTERS,
SECTOR 2, HSR LAYOUT,
BENGALURU-560 102

...RESPONDENTS

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO
QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 16.07.2026 PASSED BY
THE LD. TRIAL COURT, IN SO FAR AS IT DECLINES TO ALLOW
I.A. NO. 2 IN COM. O.S. NO. 1068/2026 EX-PARTE VIDE
ANNEXURE-A.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR FINAL HEARING, THIS
DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI



CNR: KAHC010507432026

ORAL ORDER

Aggrieved by the order dated 16.07.2026 in I.A.No.2 in Com.O.S.No.1068/2026 passed by the LXXXVIII Additional City Civil and Sessions Judge, Bengaluru, wherein the trial Court failed to consider I.A.No.2 and issued suit summons to the defendants, the petitioner/plaintiff is before this Court.

2. Learned Senior counsel representing the counsel for the petitioner submits that, having considered the prima facie case, the balance of convenience, and irreparable loss, the pre-institution mediation process has been exempted, and I.A.No.3 has been allowed, restraining the defendants from infringing the plaintiff's copyright materials as defined in paragraph No.17(a) of the plaint and from unlawfully misappropriating the plaintiff's proprietary material and confidential information, including its trade secrets and technical know-how, until the next date of hearing, and suit summons have been issued. Learned Senior counsel submits that unless I.A.No.2 is ordered by the trial Court, it would cause lot of hardship to the petitioner, the information will be lost, and it will be difficult for the plaintiff to prove his case. In these circumstances, the



Court ought to have considered I.A.No.2 and ought to have appointed a commissioner. It is submitted that, looking at the urgency and requirements, this Court may appoint the commissioner. It is submitted that the trial Court ought to have passed the order on the merits of the matter when I.A.No.2 was filed, instead of issuing suit summons.

3. Having heard the learned Senior counsel for the petitioner, perused the entire material on record. The petitioner has filed I.A.Nos. 1, 2 and 3. The trial Court allowed I.A.No.3, exempting the pre-institution mediation, and permitted the plaintiff to institute the suit. This Court has perused the affidavit filed in support of the application and the requirement put forth by the plaintiff as to why an ad-interim order is required in the facts and circumstances of the case, by passing an order on I.A.No.2. The trial Court, having allowed I.A.No.3, ought to have considered I.A.No.2 and based on the facts and circumstances, ought to have passed a reasoned order without issuing suit summons. At the same time, this Court is not inclined to appoint a commissioner, as that has to be done by the trial Court after considering the facts of the case and the



NC: 2026:KHC:40399
WP No. 22820 of 2026

requirement of passing such an order on I.A.No.2. In these circumstances, this Court is passing the following order:

ORDER

- i. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** directing the trial Court to consider I.A.No.2 in accordance with law and pass an order on the merits of the matter within two days from the date of receipt of the copy of this order, notwithstanding the fact that the suit summons are not served on the respondents.
- ii. All I.As. in this petition shall stand closed.

SD/-
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)
JUDGE

MEG
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 30