



CNR: KAHC010505542024

NC: 2026:KHC:37690
WP No. 23863 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 22ND DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO. 23863 OF 2024 (GM-DRT)

BETWEEN:

1. HOTEL KAMADHENU,
REPRESENTED BY ITS
AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY,
SRI. SATHYAPRASAD D.,
HAVING ITS OFFICE AT
NO.226 NEW SAYYAJI RAO ROAD,
MYSURU-570001.
2. SUHANA S. ALVA,
W/O. SATHYAPRASAD D.,
AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS
3. SHARADA S.R.,
W/O. LATE DAYANANDA S.R.,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
4. SATHYAPRASAD D.,
S/O. LATE DAYANANDA S.R.,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS

PETITIONERS NO.2 TO 3 ARE
R/AT 114B, VIVEKANANDA ROAD,
YADAVAGIRI, MYSURU-570020.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. TRIVIKRAM S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

HDB FINANCIAL SERVICES LIMITED
REPRESENTED BY ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY

Digitally signed by
PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



CNR: KAHC010505542024

NC: 2026:KHC:37690
WP No. 23863 of 2024

SRI. MOHAN H.G.,
REGISTERED OFFICE AT
RADHIKA 2ND FLOOR,
LAW GARDEN ROAD,
NAVRANGPURA, AHMEDABAD,
GUJARAT-380009.

ALSO AT
NO.1078, 2ND FLOOR,
11TH MAIN, 9TH CROSS,
MAHALAKSHMI LAYOUT,
BENGALURU-560086.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. B.C.AVINASH, ADVOCATE)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN
RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Sri.Trivikram, counsel for the petitioners appeared
through video conferencing and Sri.B.C.Avinash, counsel for
caveator / respondent appeared in person.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petition may
be dismissed as having become infructuous. When queried,
counsel for the petitioner submits that a conscious decision is
taken about the dismissal of the petition as having become



CNR: KAHC010505542024

NC: 2026:KHC:37690
WP No. 23863 of 2024

infructuous and if an order is passed the petitioner shall not seek review of the order or modification of the order.

The oral submission is placed on record.

As the counsel on record has taken a conscious decision about the dismissal of the petition as infructuous, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed*** as having become infructuous.

Because of dismissal of the Writ Petition, all pending interlocutory applications if any are disposed of.

**SD/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE**

SS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 19