



CNR: KAHC010482482026

NC: 2026:KHC:37893
WP No. 21923 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 22ND DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 21923 OF 2026 (GM-POLICE)

BETWEEN:

SMT. ROOPAVATHI T
W/O SRI. SHIVA KUMAR B.V.,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
RESIDING AT 2ND FLOOR,
NO. 94/C5, NEAR GANGADHARESHWARA TEMPLE,
JARAGANAHALLI, 6TH PHASE,
J.P. NAGAR, BENGALURU - 560 078.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. G.M. SHARATHKUMAR, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
2. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
INFANTRY ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
3. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER (SHO)
PUTTENAHALLI POLICE STATION,
PUTTENAHALLI, BENGALURU - 560 078.

Digitally signed
by SHWETHA
RAGHAVENDRA
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



NC: 2026:KHC:37893
WP No. 21923 of 2026

4. SRI. SHIVAKUMAR B.V.,
S/O SRI. VEERABHADRAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO. 95/C5,
2ND FLOOR, NEAR GANGADHARESHWARA TEMPLE,
JARAGANAHALLI, 6TH PHASE,
J.P. NAGAR, BENGALURU - 560 078.
5. SRI. SRIDHAR B.V.,
S/O SRI. VEERABADHRAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO. 95/C5,
2ND FLOOR, NEAR GANGADHARESHWARA TEMPLE,
JARAGANAHALLI, 6TH PHASE,
J.P. NAGAR, BENGALURU - 560 078.
6. SRI. VEERABHADRAIAH
S/O LATE EERAIAH
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO. 94/C5,
NEAR CMS SCHOOL, CMS SCHOOL STREET,
J.P. NAGAR 6TH PHASE,
BENGALURU - 560 078.

...RESPONDENTS
(BY SMT. SARITHA KULKARNI, AGA FOR R1 TO R3)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO I) QUASHING THE IMPUGNED ENDORSEMENT DATED 02.07.2026 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO.3 (THE STATION HOUSE OFFIER, PUTTENAHALLI POLICE STATION) IN ANNEX-C AS ARBITRARY, ILLEGAL AND WITHOUT AUTHORITY OF LAW.



CNR: KAHC010482482026

NC: 2026:KHC:37893
WP No. 21923 of 2026

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. Petitioner is before this Court seeking for the following reliefs:
 - a. *Issue a writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, or direction quashing the impugned endorsement dated 02.07.2026 issued by respondent no.3 (The Station House Officer, Puttenahalli Police Station) in Annexure-C as arbitrary, illegal, and without authority of law.*
 - b. *Issue a writ of mandamus commanding respondent no.3 to strictly implement and execute the operative mandates of the ex-parte order dated 22.11.2025 passed in Crl.Misc.No.197/2025 by the Judicial Magistrate First Class (Traffic Court-V), Bengaluru in Annexure-B, and provide immediate, ongoing police protection to ensure the physical safety, restoration, and peaceful residence of the petitioner and her children at the shared household at no. 94/C5 (or 95/C5), near Gangadhareshwara Temple, Jaraganahalli, 6th phase, J.P.Nagar, Bengaluru -560 078.*
 - c. *PASS such other reliefs as this Hon'ble Court deems fit in the interest of justice and equity.*
2. The petitioner had earlier approached this Court vide W.P.No.18382/2026, contending that the respondents



CNR: KAHC010482482026

had not implemented the exparte order dated 22.11.2025.

3. Learned Additional Government Advocate on that occasion made a submission that there was no representation which had been submitted by the petitioner. If a representation were to be submitted, accompanied by necessary orders, the same would be considered.
4. The petitioner is once again before this Court contending that despite the order dated 22.06.2026, endorsement at Annexure-C has been issued. A perusal of Annexure-C indicates that it is in view of the order passed by a co-ordinate bench of this Court dated 26.02.2026 in W.P.No.30153/2025 that the respondents have expressed their inability to take any further action on the oral request made by the petitioner.
5. W.P.No.30153/2025 arose out of proceedings under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007, wherein the husband of the petitioner had challenged an order passed by the Special Deputy Commissioner dated 09.07.2025 in MSC.No.51/2023 and an order dated 30.12.2024 passed by the Assistant Commissioner in Appeal No.MAG(4)(SC)CR:24/2024-25 and this Court, considering the various aspects, including the aspect of the petition having been filed against her husband and the father in Crime No.197/2025, observing



CNR: KAHC010482482026

that the petitioner and her husband were harassing the senior citizen, including by filing a false case under Section 8 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 had directed the petitioner therein, i.e., the husband of the petitioner, to vacate and hand over the portion of the property, subject matter for the said proceedings by 30.05.2026 and further directed the jurisdictional police to intervene and ensure that the senior citizen has possession of the property.

6. In the teeth of that order having been passed against the husband of the petitioner, the question of filing these proceedings seeking for police help after the implementation of the order passed by the co-ordinate bench is, in the considered opinion of this Court, a gross abuse of the process of this Court.
7. A litigant who approaches this Court in a genuine manner by disclosing all facts would always be entitled to consideration and grant of such orders as may be permissible. But when the litigants approach this Court by suppressing facts, more particularly the orders passed by a co-ordinate bench of this Court, it is but required for this Court to take necessary action against such litigants who have abused the process of Court.
8. In that view of the matter, the above writ petition is **dismissed** by imposing an exemplary cost of Rs.25,000/- payable to the Karnataka State Legal Services Authority



CNR: KAHC010482482026

NC: 2026:KHC:37893
WP No. 21923 of 2026

within a period of 4 (four) weeks from today, i.e., on or before 24.08.2026.

9. If the said payments are not made by 24.08.2026, the Member Secretary, Karnataka State Legal Service Authority would be entitled to initiate such proceedings as may be necessary to recover the said costs as arrears of land revenue from the petitioner.

Sd/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE

GJM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 15