



CNR: KAHC010477312022

NC: 2026:KHC:45280
CRL.P No. 10309 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 20TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 10309 OF 2022

BETWEEN:

MR. SYED MUJAHID
S/O MR. SYED SAYEED AHMED
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.24, 9TH CROSS
6TH MAIN, PILLAPPA BLOCK,
GANGANAGAR, BANGALORE - 560 032.
CURRENTLY AT R/A AL-QUSAI INDUSTRIAL AREA,
NEAR PASON HYPER MARKET, DUBAI.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. CHETAN KUMAR N.V, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. SADANAND G. SHASTRI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY TILAK NAGAR POLICE STATION,
BENGALURU,
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
BANGALORE.
2. MRS. HAJIRA TABASSUM
W/O MR. SYED MUJAHID
D/O MOHAMMED NIZAM
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.635, 34TH CROSS,

Digitally signed
by
ANNA PURNA G
Location: High
Court of
Karnataka



CNR: KAHC010477312022

NC: 2026:KHC:45280
CRL.P No. 10309 of 2022

22ND MAIN ROAD, 4TH T BLOCK
JAYANAGAR, BANGALORE - 560 041.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. MAHESHA P, HCGP FOR R1;
SMT. HEMALATHA M.K, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. H.M. MURALIDHAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO
QUASH THE PROCEEDINGS IN C.C.NO.14390/2016 FOR THE
OFFENCE P/U/S 498(A) AND 506 OF IPC, WHICH IS PENDING
ON THE FILE OF XXXVII ADDL.C.M.M., BENGALURU.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI

ORAL ORDER

As per order dated 06.08.2026, matter was referred for
mediation and report received from Mediation Centre indicates
settlement between parties.

2. Learned counsel for parties submit that parties are
present and are agreeable for disposal of petition, as settled.

3. A Memorandum of Settlement to said effect signed
by counsel as well as by respective parties is filed.



4. It is submitted that parties are present and are identified by their respective counsel. On interaction, parties state that they have understood terms of settlement and have agreed for same without any threat, coercion or inducement from anyone and seek for disposal of petition.

5. Learned counsel for parties submit that offences under Sections 406 and 342 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) are compoundable and though offences under Sections 498A, 506 and 120B of IPC were non-compoundable, however, in view of settlement and since allegations made in complaint are purely *inter partes* and parties are husband and wife, who have since agreed to reconcile, permission may be granted for compounding.

6. Learned High Court Government Pleader for respondent-State fairly submits that appropriate orders may be passed.

7. Heard learned counsel and perused material on record.



CNR: KAHC010477312022

NC: 2026:KHC:45280
CRL.P No. 10309 of 2022

8. This petition is filed for quashing of entire proceedings in C.C.no.14390/2016 (arising out of Crime no.0006/2015 registered by Tilak Nagar Police Station) on file of XXXVII ACMM, Bengaluru, for offences punishable under Sections 498A, 506, 120B, 406 and 342 read with 34 of IPC.

9. During pendency of proceedings, as in terms of order passed by this Court, matter was referred for Mediation which has concluded successfully. An agreement containing terms of settlement is filed. Memorandum of settlement reads as follows-

" II. The aforesaid petition was referred to mediation for resolving the dispute between the parties. During the course of mediation the parties and their respective advocates were present and have resolved their dispute on the following terms and conditions:

1. That the petitioner and the respondent no.2 are husband and wife, their marriage was solemnized between the petitioner and the respondent on 03.10.2002 in accordance with Shariah rites Governed by Mohammedan Law, at Hudson Memorial Church Community Centre, Bangalore.



CNR: KAHC010477312022

NC: 2026:KHC:45280
CRL.P No. 10309 of 2022

2. Both the parties state that they have two children born to them from this wedlock by name Ayman Ahmed Syed aged about 22 years and Arham Ahmed Syed aged about 17 years respectively both are residing at Bengaluru.

3. The petitioner is working at Dubai therefore both the children are staying with the mother/respondent no.2.

4. The petitioner agreed to pay a sum of Rs.35,000/- (Rupees Thirty Five Thousand Only) to the respondent no.2 towards the family expenses, the said amount will be paid on or before 10th of every month. It is agreed by the petitioner that he shall bear the educational, medical and other expenses of the family.

5. It is agreed by the parties that they have the locker bearing no.29 at State Bank of India, R T Nagar Branch, Bengaluru in joint names, the said locker will be opened by both of them and the ornaments kept in the said locker will be handed over to the respondent no.2 at the time of reporting this settlement before the Hon'ble Court.

6. That the respondent no.2 does not have any objection to quash the proceedings in C.C.no.14390/2016 pending on the file of XXXVII ACM., Bengaluru."



NC: 2026:KHC:45280
CRL.P No. 10309 of 2022

CNR: KAHC010477312022

10. On perusal of complaint averments, it is seen that dispute is purely *inter parte* arising out of matrimonial discord while parties have agreed to reconcile. Hence, it would be appropriate case for exercise of power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. to meet ends of justice.

11. Hence, permission is granted for compounding of offences punishable under Sections 498A, 120B and Section 506 of IPC. Other offences i.e., Sections 406 and 342 of IPC are compoundable.

12. In view of above, Petition is **allowed**, proceedings in C.C.no.14390/2016 (arising out of Crime no.6/2015 registered by Tilak Nagar Police Station) on file of XXXVII ACMM, Bengaluru, for offences punishable under Sections 498A, 506, 120B, 406 and 342 read with 34 of IPC shall stand quashed.

Sd/-
(RAVI V HOSMANI)
JUDGE