



CNR: KAHC010476662019

NC: 2026:KHC:36698
CRL.P No. 8082 of 2019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 17TH DAY OF JULY, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI
CRIMINAL PETITION NO.8082 OF 2019

BETWEEN:

R SRINIVAS
S/O LATE RUDRAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
R/O NO.51, GROUND FLOOR,
2ND CROSS, 8TH MAIN ROAD,
BASAVESHWARA LAYOUT,
CHANDRA LAYOUT,
BENGALURU - 560 040.

NOW R/A NO.10, ITI LAYOUT,
NAGARBHAVI, SECOND STAGE,
BENGALURU - 560 072.

...PETITIONER

[BY SRI SHAIKH SAUD, ADVOCATE (AB)]

AND:

1. THE STATE BY
CHANDRA LAYOUT POLICE ,
REP. BY THE STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
AT BENGALURU - 560 001.
2. SMT. SULOCHANA PRABHU UDDI
S/O PRABHU UDDI,
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
NO.4, HOMBALI, CHALUKYANAGARA,
NAGARBHAVI MAIN ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 072.
3. PRABHU UDDI
S/O LATE DODDA BASAPPA UDDI,
AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS,

Digitally signed
by ANUSHA V
Location: High
Court of
Karnataka



NC: 2026:KHC:36698
CRL.P No. 8082 of 2019

CNR: KAHC010476662019

NO.4, HOMBALI, CHALUKYANAGARA,
NAGARABHAVI MAIN ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 072.

4. DR. KOTRESH SHARMA
S/O LATE NAGBHUSHAN SHARMA,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
NO.4, HOMBALI,
CHALUKYANAGARA,
NAGARABHAVI MAIN ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 072.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI JAVEED S., HCGP FOR R1;
SRI SURESH P., ADVOCATE FOR R2 & R3;
R4 - SERVED)

THIS CRL.P FILED U/S 482 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO a.
SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 23.03.2013 PASSED BY THE II
ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND SPECIAL
JUDGE, BENGALURU IN RESPECT OF CR.NO.176/2012 U/S
3(1)(x) OF SC/ST (POA) ACT AND SECTION 506 R/W 34 OF IPC
VIDE ANNEXURE-D. b. PERMIT TO CHALLENGE THE B- FINAL
REPORT IN RESPECT OF CR.NO.176/2012 U/S 3(1)(x) OF
SC/ST (POA) ACT AND SECTION 506 R/W 34 OF IPC AT
ANNEXURE-D IN THE II ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND
SESSIONS JUDGE AND SPECIAL JUDGE, BENGALURU.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR *ADMISSION*, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI



NC: 2026:KHC:36698
CRL.P No. 8082 of 2019

CNR: KAHC010476662019

ORAL ORDER

None appears for petitioner.

Even on previous dates of hearing i.e. 19.06.2026 and 09.07.2026, there was no representation and matter was adjourned with clarification that no further adjournment could be granted. Continued absence indicates that petitioner is not diligently pursuing petition. Hence, petition is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution.

**Sd/-
(RAVI V HOSMANI)
JUDGE**

GRD
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 23