



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO. 22057 OF 2025 (S-KSRTC)

BETWEEN:

MANJUNATH N.,
S/O. LATE NARAYANAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
R/AT C/O UDDA NARAYANAPPA,
GOPASANDRA, TEKAL POST,
MALUR TALUK, KOLAR DISTRICT-563137.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BASAVARAJU M.C., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
K.S.R.T.C., CENTRAL OFFICES,
K.H. ROAD, SHANTHI NAGAR,
BENGALURU-560 027.
2. THE DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER,
K.S.R.T.C., KOLAR DIVISION,
KOLAR-573101.
3. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
STATE OF KARNATAKA,
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
FAMILY WELFARE,
M.S.BUILDING, BENGALURU-560001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. RENUKA H.R., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2;
SRI. K.P.YOGANNA, AGA FOR R3)

Digitally signed
by
PREMCHANDRA
M R

Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Sri.M.C.Basavaraju, counsel for the petitioner; Smt.H.R.Renuka, counsel for the respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Sri.K.P.Yoganna., Additional Government Advocate for respondent No.3 appeared in person.

2. The brief facts are these:

The petitioner was selected and appointed as a Driver-cum-Conductor in the establishment of the Corporation. During the course of his service, he suffered a disability resulting in the amputation of his right leg. Taking note of his medical condition, the respondent Corporation assigned him light-duty work. However, the Corporation has subsequently directed the petitioner to undergo a fresh medical examination before the Medical Board. Aggrieved by the said communication, the petitioner has approached this Court seeking appropriate relief.



3. Counsel for the respective parties urged several contentions.

Counsel for the petitioner submitted that, since the petitioner's right leg has been amputated and the Corporation has already accommodated him by assigning light-duty work, there is no necessity to refer him once again to the Medical Board.

Counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2, on instructions, submitted that it is an admitted fact that the petitioner's right leg has been amputated.

4. Heard the arguments and perused the papers with care.

5. The photograph of the petitioner has been produced before the Court. A perusal of the same clearly indicates that the petitioner's right leg has been amputated, and the Corporation has also admitted the said fact. In such circumstances, there is no justification for insisting that the petitioner undergo a fresh medical examination by the Medical Board.



Accordingly, the impugned letter dated 16.06.2025, produced at Annexure-J, is hereby quashed. The respondents are directed to continue assigning light-duty work to the petitioner without insisting upon a fresh medical examination or a second opinion from the Medical Board.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***allowed***.

Because of disposal of the Writ Petition, interim order granted if any stands discharged and pending interlocutory applications if any are disposed of.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

SS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 18