



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO.21868 OF 2025 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

SMT. RATHNAMMA
WIFE OF LATE MUNISHAMAYYA
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RESIDING AT BOODIHALA VILLAGE,
YELIYURU POST, KASABA HOBLI,
DEVANAHALLI TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT – 562 110

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. CHOWDAPPA B, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. BHASKAR BABU H J., ADVOCATE)

AND:

THE TAHASILDAR
DEVANAHALLI TALUK
DEVANAHALLI
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT – 562 110

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. SHAMANTH NAIK., HCGP)

Digitally
signed by
CHAITHANYA
K

Location:
HIGH COURT
OF
KARNATAKA

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO(A) DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT TO RE-ENTER PETITIONER'S NAME IN OWNER AND CULTIVATORS COLUMN OF RTC PERTAINING TO PETITION SCHEDULE LAND, CONSIDERING THE APPLICATION DATED 10.11.2022 FILED BY THE PETITIONER WHICH IS PRODUCED AT TO ANNEXURE-A AND ETC



THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned High Court Government Pleader takes notice for
all the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the petitioner that her husband late Sri.Munishamaiah S/o Ramaiah was granted 30 guntas of land in Survey No.10 of Boodihal Village, Kasaba Hobli, Devanahalli Taluk, Bengalurural District, in terms of orders passed by the Special Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, in O.M. No.B.Dis LND(1)SR.1340/1983-84 dated 23.06.1983. It is contented that the saguvali chit was also issued in favour of the petitioner's husband on 09.10.1983. Pursuant to which, the petitioner's husband's name was also entered in the revenue records. However, after computerisation the name of the petitioner's husband has been discontinued from the revenue records. Therefore, the prayer in the writ petition is to issue necessary directions to the respondent-Tahsildar, Devanahalli Taluk, to continue the name of the petitioner's husband in the computerised RTC and thereafter consider the prayer of the



petitioner for entering her name in the revenue records on the basis of succession.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned High Court Government Pleader and on perusing the petition papers, this Court finds from the material on record that the name of the petitioner's husband was earlier entered in the land revenue records. However, if the Tahsildar is of the opinion that the petitioner's husband has not been granted lands, nevertheless, it is the duty of the Tahsildar to secure the original grant records, Saguvali Chit issue register and other contemporaneous records to satisfy himself regarding the grant made in favour of the petitioner's husband. Moreover, there is no reason why the name of the petitioner's husband which was earlier entered in the land revenue records has been continued after computerization, the Tahsildar is duty bound to look into all these aspects and pass necessary orders.

4. The writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondent-Tahsildar, Devanahalli Taluk, to verify from the records and find out if grant was made in favour of the petitioner's husband and if the kimath has been paid. If it is



found from the records that the facts stated hereinabove are true, then the Tahsildar shall proceed to enter the name of the petitioner's husband in the computerised RTC in accordance with law. Thereafter, the Tahsildar shall find out who are the legal heirs of late Sri.Munishamayya and after satisfying himself he shall proceed to enter the name of legal heirs of Sri.Munishamayya in accordance with law. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned High Court Government Pleader is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

rv
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 32