



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 14TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.21146 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. MUNEERAPPA,
S/O VENKATESHAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
R/O VENUGOPALPURA VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI,
BANGARAPET TALUK ,
KOLAR-DISTRICT-563114.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. HALLI SHANTAPPA BASAPPA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR-DISTRICT,
KOLAR - 563 101.
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSOINER,
KOLAR-SUB-DIVISION,
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563 101.
3. THE TAHASILDAR,
BANGARAPET-TALUK,
KOLAR-DISTRICT - 563 114.
4. THE REVENUE INSPECTOR,
KASABA HOBLI,

Digitally signed
by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



KOLAR-TALUK,
KOLAR-DISTRICT-563101.

5. SHIVAMMA,
W/O KRISHANAPPA,
MAJOR IN AGE,
R/O VENUGOPALPURA VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI,
BANGARPET TALUK ,
KOLAR-DISTRICT-563114.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SPOORTHY HEGDE N., HCGP FOR R1 TO R4)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 IN RAP.NO 05/2026, DATED 23/03/2026, NO VIDE ANNEXEURE-K; QUASH THE ORDER PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2 IN NO. RA.NO.195/2025, DATED 25/09/2025, VIDE ANNEXEURE-J.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts
notice for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.



2. In this writ petition, the petitioner has assailed order dated 23.03.2026 (Annexure-K) and order dated 25.09.2025 (Annexure-J), passed by respondent Nos. 1 and 2 respectively.

3. Heard Sri. Halli Shantappa Basappa, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Spoorthy Hedge N, learned High Court Government Pleader appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and upon careful consideration of the impugned orders, this Court finds that the claim made by the petitioner involves a question of title. Both the authorities have concurrently recorded a finding that the petitioner has failed to establish his claim over the land in question by producing an appropriate Genealogical Tree or other satisfactory evidence.



5. In that view of the matter, both the authorities have rightly relegated the petitioner to establish his right, title, and interest over the property before the competent Civil Court. Only after establishing such right before the competent Civil Court, it is open to the petitioner to approach the competent revenue authority seeking modification of the revenue entries in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***disposed of.***

**SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

SB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 10