



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 14TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 20571 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. SIDDARAMAPPA
S/O. LATE NANJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
2. SMT. BASAVALINGAMMA,
D/O. LATE NANJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 78 YEARS,
3. SMT. PARVATHAMMA
D/O. LATE NANJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS,
4. SMT. RENUKAMMA
D/O. LATE NANJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
5. SRI. PARAMESHWARAPPA,
S/O. LATE NANJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
6. SMT.NAGARATNA B.C.
W/O SRI.RAJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,

ALL ARE RESIDING AT
K.BASAVANAHALLI,

Digitally signed by
CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



YAGATI HOBLI, KADUR TALUK,
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT-577140.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. MANJUNATH PRASAD H. N., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
M.S. BUILDING, AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
2. THE TAHASILDAR,
KADUR TALUK,
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT-577 101.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. B.P. RADHA, AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE 2ND RESPONDENT TAHASILDAR, KADUR TALUK, TO ENTER THE NAME OF THE FATHER OF THE PETITIONERS IN REVENUE RECORDS IN TERMS OF THE SALE DEED DATED 28-06-1961 (ANNEXURE-B) WITHOUT BROOKING ANY FURTHER DELAY, IN THE ENDS OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY AND CONSEQUENTLY SET ASIDE THE ENDORSEMENT NO.R.R.T.C.R/2025-26 DATED 25-03-2026, PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-D AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH



ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for the respondents.

2. In this writ petition, the petitioners have sought for writ of mandamus to respondent No.2 to enter the name of the father of the petitioners in revenue records, as per the registered sale deed dated 28.06.1961 (Annexure-B) and also quash the endorsement dated 25.03.2026 (Annexure-D).

3. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and on careful consideration of the same, the petitioners are seeking entry of the name of their father in revenue records based on the registered sale deed dated 28.06.1961, after the lapse of more than six decades.

4. In that view of the matter, it is open for the petitioners to establish their right over the property in question before the competent Civil Court. Liberty is



reserved to the petitioners to approach the competent Civil Court and thereafter, approach the respondent authorities seeking entry of the name of their father in the revenue records.

5. With this observation, the writ petition is ***dismissed.***

**SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

MCR
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 34