



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

WRIT PETITION NO.19434 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. MAHADEVAMMA
W/O. LATE PUTTASANGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 86 YEARS
2. SANGAMESHA T. P.
S/O. LATE PUTTASANGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS
3. PUTTEGOWDA T. P.
S/O. LATE PUTTASANGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS
4. SURESHA T. P.
S/O. LATE PUTTASANGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
5. DHARANESHA
S/O. LATE PUTTASANGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS
6. SMT. MANJULA
D/O LATE PUTTASANGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS

P-1 TO P-6 ARE RESIDING AT
THAMMADIHALLI VILLAGE
GULURU HOBALI, TUMAKURU TALUK
TUMAKURU DISTRICT-572 122



7. SMT. PREMA
W/O. RAMESH
D/O. LATE PUTTASANGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS
R/AT JANGAMARAHALLI
AGALIMANDALA, MADAKSIRA TALUK
ANANTHAPURA DISTRICT
A.P.STATE-515 311

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI PARASHURAM R. HATTARAKIHAL, ADVOCATE)

AND:

BHARATH B. N.
S/O. B.V. NARASIMHAMURTHY
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS
R/AT V.A.1101 EAST, PURVA VENEZIA APARTMENTS
MAJOR SANDEEP UNNIKRISHNAN ROAD
YELAHANKA NEW TOWN
BENGALURU-560 064

...RESPONDENT

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 12.06.2026 ON I.A.NO.I/2026 IN MA NO.74/2025 PASSED BY THE ADDL. SR. CIVIL JUDGE AND CJM, TUMAKURU AS PER ANNEXURE-A TO THIS WRIT PETITION.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI



ORAL ORDER

The present writ petition is filed seeking the following prayer:

"Wherefore, the petitioners respectfully pray that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to:-

a) Issue a writ in the nature of certiorari and quash the impugned order dated 12.06.2026 on I.A.No.1/2026 in M.A.No.74/2025 passed by the Addl. Sr.Civil Judge & CJM, Tumakuru as per Annexure-A to this Writ petition, and;

b) Grant such other appropriate order/ orders as deem fit by this Hon'ble Court to the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the trial court has granted an injunction. The petitioners have filed M.A.No.74/2025 with a delay of 200 and odd days. The Appellate Court has not considered the application since January. In fact, when the petitioners filed an earlier writ petition, this Court gave them liberty to seek disposal of M.A. Even after that, for the last six months, the matter has not been taken up by the Appellate Court. Hence, the petitioners are before this Court.



3. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court has perused the order sheet. When an M.A. is filed in January, not considering it even till July is improper. The Appellate Court ought to have considered it, and the inquiry on the IA will not take this many months for the Court to decide. Considering the urgency expressed by the petitioner and the fact that the ad-interim injunction was granted long back, this Court is passing the following:

ORDER

- i. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** directing the Appellate Court to decide MA No.74/2025 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, but not later than two months.
- ii. All pending I.As., in the writ petition shall stand closed.

**SD/-
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)
JUDGE**