



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 9TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 5878 OF 2019

C/W

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 5877 OF 2019

CRL.P.NO.5878/2019:

BETWEEN:

SRI N NAGIREDDY
S/O N NANJAREDDY
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
R/AT SLV MEDICALS
KONAGANDAPALLI
KODIHALLI HOBLI
KANAKAPURA TALUK
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT - 562 117.

...PETITIONER

[BY SRI M D BASAVANNA, ADVOCATE (AB)]

AND:

SRI C KUMARESHAN
S/O LATE CHANDRAN
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
PROPRIETOR OF GANESH ENTERPRISES
OPP. SALES TAX OFFICE
OLD EXTENSION
DOOM LIGHT CIRCLE
KOLAR - 563 101.

...RESPONDENT

[BY SRI ABHILASH KUMAR M.M., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI M.R.NANJUNDA GOWDA, ADVOCATE (PH)]

Digitally signed
by ANUSHA V
Location: High
Court of
Karnataka



NC: 2026:KHC:34897
CRL.P No. 5878 of 2019
C/W CRL.P No. 5877 of 2019

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482 CR.P.C PRAYING TO QUASH THE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS INITIATED BY THE RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT IN C.C.NO.261/2019 ON THE FILE OF II ADDITIONAL SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND J.M.F.C., KOLAR REGARDING ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS DATED 23.02.2019 PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-G.

CRL.P.NO.5877/2019:

BETWEEN:

SRI N NAGIREDDY
S/O N NANJAREDDY
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
R/AT SLV MEDICALS
KONAGANDAPALLI
KODIHALLI HOBLI
KANAKAPURA TALUK
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT - 562 117.

...PETITIONER

[BY SRI M D BASAVANNA, ADVOCATE (AB)]

AND:

SRI S SREENIVASA
S/O SEETHAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
PROPRIETOR OF HAPPY JEAN'S SHOP
DODDAPET, KOLAR - 563 101
KOLAR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT

[BY SRI UDAY KUMAR M., ADVOCATE (PH)]

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482 CR.P.C PRAYING TO QUASH THE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS INITIATED BY THE RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT IN C.C.NO.1915/2018 ON THE FILE OF II ADDITIONAL SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND J.M.F.C., AT KOLAR, KOLAR DISTRICT PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-G.



NC: 2026:KHC:34897
CRL.P No. 5878 of 2019
C/W CRL.P No. 5877 of 2019

THESE PETITIONS, COMING ON FOR *ADMISSION*, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for respondent submitted that one of main grounds urged in this petition was that petitioner along with his wife having filed insolvency case in IC no.8/2017 against 26 persons before LXVI Additional City Civil and Sessions Judge, Bangalore, including complainant herein even though he was a total stranger qua petitioners. It was submitted that proceedings in IC no.8/2017 had since been dismissed. Therefore, there would be no justification for continuation of above petition.

2. In order to provide opportunity, matter was adjourned. However, there is no representation on behalf of petitioner even today. This would indicate that petitioner is not diligent in pursuing petitions. Petitions are **dismissed for non-prosecution.**

**Sd/-
(RAVI V HOSMANI)
JUDGE**

YKL/List No.: 1 SI No.: 26