



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.G.PANDIT

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

WRIT PETITION NO.18369 OF 2026 (S-KSAT)

BETWEEN:

SMT. SHWETHA.B.V.
W/O SHIVAPRASAD K.C.,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
WORKING AS MOTOR VEHICLE INSPECTOR,
IN THE OFFICE OF NELAMANGALA RTO,
NELAMANGALA-562123.
RESIDING AT: NO.15, 16 SRIRAMA NILAYA,
M.S.RAMIAH LAYOUT, 1ST MAIN, 2ND CROSS,
NAGASANDRA POST, BENGALURU-560073.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. RAGHAVENDRA.G.GAYATRI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SMT. POOJA.P.NAYAK,
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
NO.C-76, 2ND STAGE, 2ND CROSS,
BESIDE BASAVA MANTAPA NEAR SAVI BEKARY,
VINOBHANAGAR, SHIMOGGA-577204.
(AT PRESENT WORKING AS MOTOR VEHICLE
INSPECTOR, AT REGIONAL TRANSPORT OFFICE AT
SIRSI)



2. THE KARNATAKA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
UDYOGA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU-560001.
3. THE COMMISSIONER,
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT,
1ST FLOOR, BMTC BUILDING
K.H.ROAD, SHANTINAGAR,
BENGALURU-560027.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SATISH.K., ADV. FOR R1; SRI. K.M.PRAKASH, ADV.
FOR R2; SRI. REUBEN JACOB, AAG A/W SRI. V.SHIVAREDDY,
AGA FOR R3)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO CALL FOR
RECORDS PERTAINING TO THE ORDER IN WP
NO.11233/2023 DECIDED ON 08/11/2024 (VIDE
ANNEXURE-A), BY RECALLING THE SAID ORDER, AND
CONSEQUENTLY SETTING ASIDE THE ORDER OF THE
HONBLE TRIBUNAL, IN APPLICATION NO.1797/2022
DECIDED ON 29/09/2022 (VIDE ANNEXURE-B) AND
DISMISS THE SAID APPLICATION AS CONTRARY TO LAW
AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS
DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.G.PANDIT
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K



ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.G.PANDIT)

Heard Sri. Raghavendra.G.Gayatri, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri. Satish.K., learned counsel for respondent No.1 and Sri. K.M.Prakash, learned counsel for respondent No.2 as well as Sri. Reuben Jacob, learned AAG along with Sri. V.Shivareddy, learned AGA for respondent No.3.

2. The writ petition is filed to recall the order dated 08.11.2024 in W.P.No.11233/2023 and to set aside the order passed by the Tribunal in Application No.1797/2022 and consequently to restore the Revised Final Select List dated 26.10.2023 of Motor Vehicle Inspector.

3. It is brought to our notice that the petitioner has also filed an application in Application No.990/2025 before the Tribunal questioning the Revised Final Select List of Motor Vehicle Inspector dated 13.02.2025.



4. In view of the above, we need not to go into the contentions raised herein and also contention raised by the petitioner's counsel with regard to recalling of the order in Writ Petition No.11233/2023 dated 08.11.2024. However, we are of the opinion that it is open for the petitioner to urge all the contentions raised herein before the Tribunal in the pending application. Accordingly, writ petition stands ***disposed off***.

In view of the above, pending I.As, if any, do not survive for consideration.

**Sd/-
(S.G.PANDIT)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE**