



CNR: KAHC010399582026

NC: 2026:KHC:39721
WP No. 18040 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 29TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.18040 OF 2026 (KLR-LG)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. BHAGYAMMA
W/O. RAMACHANDRAIAH
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
R/AT TALEKOPPA,
GUBBI TALUK TALEKOPPA,
CHELUR HOBLI, TALEKOPPA,
TUMAKURU - 572 117.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SIDDARAMAIAH V.B., ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by
CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
M. S. BUILDING,
AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560001.
2. THE TAHASILDAR,
TUMAKURU TALUK,
MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA - 577 101,
DR. AMBEDKAR ROAD,
TUMAKURU.

...RESPONDENTS



CNR: KAHC010399582026

NC: 2026:KHC:39721
WP No. 18040 of 2026

(BY SRI. SPOORTHY HEGDE N., HCGP)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ENDORSEMENT DATED 08.05.2026 BEARING NO. TOGUB OFFICE GUBBI E-ADMOLNDG/240/2026-ADMN-TALUK 1036025 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT, THE TAHASILDAR, GUBBI TALUK, GUBBI, TUMAKURU DISTRICT, VIDE, ANNEXURE-E; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the respective parties.

2. In this writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the Endorsement dated 08.05.2026 (Annexure-E) issued by respondent No.2-Thasildar.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contended that the petitioner had submitted an



CNR: KAHC010399582026

**NC: 2026:KHC:39721
WP No. 18040 of 2026**

application seeking grant of land as an unauthorised occupant. However, the said application came to be rejected by respondent No.2 by issuing the impugned Endorsement at Annexure-E.

4. It is further contended that the reasons assigned in the impugned Endorsement are arbitrary and unsustainable in law. Learned counsel submitted that the respondent-authorities have granted lands to several other persons in the very same survey number, whereas the petitioner's application alone has been rejected, thereby subjecting the petitioner to discriminatory treatment. On these grounds, he sought interference by this Court.

5. Per contra, the learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondent-State sought to justify the impugned Endorsement and submitted that sufficient land is required to be



CNR: KAHC010399582026

**NC: 2026:KHC:39721
WP No. 18040 of 2026**

retained as gomal land for grazing cattle. Therefore, it was contended that the petitioner's application was rightly rejected and the writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

6. In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, and upon perusal of Annexures-C and D (Copy of RTC extract and Sketch), it is evident that the respondent-authorities have granted portion of land in the very same survey number in favour of various persons. However, the application submitted by the petitioner has been rejected under the impugned Endorsement at Annexure-E.

7. Having regard to the material placed on record, this Court is of the considered opinion that the reasons assigned in the impugned Endorsement cannot be sustained in law, particularly when similarly



NC: 2026:KHC:39721
WP No. 18040 of 2026

situated persons have been granted land in the very same survey number. Consequently, the matter requires reconsideration by respondent No.2 on its own merits and in accordance with law.

8. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***allowed***. The Endorsement dated 08.05.2026 issued by respondent No.2-Tahsildar, produced at Annexure-E, is hereby set aside. Respondent No.2 is directed to reconsider the petitioner's application afresh, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, and pass appropriate orders within an outer limit of six (6) months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

SB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 65