



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 17222 OF 2026 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI DAVALASAB MABUSAB MAKANDAR
S/O MABUSAB,
AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS,
RESIDING AT DAMBALA VILLAGE AND HOBLI,
MUNDARAGI TALUK, GADAG DISTRICT
KARNATAKA - 582 101

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SHRIKANTA SHARMA., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE REGISTRAR GENERAL
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
PRINCIPAL BENCH,
AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001
2. THE REGISTRAR (RECRUITMENT)
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
PRINCIPAL BENCH,
AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. NITIN RAMESH, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TOA) ISSUE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS (OR) ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT DIRECTIONS TO THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER REPRESENTATION DATED 30.05.2026 AT ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

Digitally signed
by SHWETHA
RAGHAVENDRA
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. Sri.Nitin Ramesh., learned counsel submits that he would be appearing for respondents No.1 and 2. Registry is directed to print his name as that appearing for respondents No.1 and 2 on vakalathnamma being filed and delete the name of Ms.B.V.Vidyulatha., as that appearing for respondents No.1 and 2.
2. The petitioner is before this Court seeking for the following reliefs:
 - a. *Issue Writ in the nature of Mandamus (ir) any other Appropriate Writ directions to the Respondents to consider representation dated 30.05.2026 at **ANNEXURE-A** and*
 - b. *Issue Writ in the nature of Mandamus (ir) any other Appropriate Writ directions to the Respondents to consider the Petitioner candidature for the viva-voice scheduled between 01.06.2026 to 11.06.2026 vide intimation letter dated 30.04.2026.*
 - c. *Issue any other Writ or Writs, direction or directions in the Form of a Writ, as deemed fit under the facts and circumstances of the case in the interest of justice and equity.*



3. The grievance of the petitioner is that once earlier on 10.12.2024, he was intimated to participate in the viva-voce. Subsequently, by way of a notification dated 30.04.2026 his name is not find mention in the list of candidates called to participate in the viva voce. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioner submitted a representation dated 30.05.2026, produced at Annexure-A which has not been considered.
4. Since the representation has been submitted, it is required that the said Representation be acted upon and necessary orders be passed within a reasonable period of time, either accepting or rejecting the Representation submitted, in accordance with law.
5. Needless say, if an order of rejection is made it is to be accompanied by reasons. More so, since the petitioner was earlier called upon to participate in the viva-voce and now his name is deleted. Hence, this Court passes the following;



ORDER

- i. The writ petition ***allowed***.
- ii. A mandamus is issued, directing respondents to consider the representation dated 30.05.2026 at Annexure-A within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
- iii. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the entitlement of the petitioner.

**Sd/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE**

SR
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 65