



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.M.NADAF

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL NO. 4927 OF 2018 (MC)

BETWEEN:

SMT. PREMA,
W/O V.H. MUDDUHONNAIAH,
D/O S.M. SHIVAKUMAR,
R/A HALIYUR VILLAGE,
BHEEMASAMUDRA HOBLI,
CHITRADURGA TALUK - 577 520.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. PRANESH CHANDRA., ADVOCATE)

AND:

SRI. V.H. MUDDUHONNAIAH,
S/O LATE HONNABASAVIAH,
R/A DAFFODILS SCHOOL ROAD,
VINAYAKANAGAR,
TIPTUR TOWN - 572 114.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI.VARSHA R. IYENGER, ADVOCATE)

THIS MFA IS FILED UNDER SECTION 28(1) OF HINDU
MARRIAGE ACT, AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE
DATED:22.01.2018 PASSED ON MC NO.77/2014 ON THE FILE



OF THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE & JMFC, TIPTUR, ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED U/S.13 OF HINDU MARRIAGE ACT AND ETC.,

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR FINAL HEARING, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.M.NADAF

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH)

The present appeal has been filed under Section 28(1) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, impugning the Judgment and Decree dated 22.01.2018 passed by the Senior Civil Judge & J.M.F.C, Tiptur¹ in M.C.No.77/2014 filed by the respondent-husband seeking dissolution of marriage by decree of divorce which was solemnized on 22.02.1996.

2. The appellant-wife entered appearance and filed statement of objections before the Family Court, however she did not contest the petition thereafter.

¹ 'the Family Court', for short



3. The Family Court vide impugned Judgment and Decree, dissolved the marriage between the appellant and respondent, which was solemnized on 22.02.1996. The appellant has not contested the proceedings and no evidence was adduced to contradict the case of the respondent-husband. We find no reason to interfere with the impugned Judgment and Decree passed by the Family Court.

4. So far as the question of maintenance is concerned, in a separate proceedings, maintenance of Rs.5,000/- was granted. If the appellant is of the opinion that due to changing time and circumstances she is entitled for enhanced maintenance, she can initiate separate proceedings as provided under Section 25 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

5. With the aforesaid liberty, we ***dismiss*** this appeal.



**NC: 2026:KHC:32453-DB
MFA No. 4927 of 2018**

6. In view of dismissal of the appeal, pending interlocutory applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**Sd/-
(D K SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(T.M.NADAF)
JUDGE**

TKN
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 34
ct-vn