



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

REVIEW PETITION NO. 308 OF 2026

IN

R.S.A.No.438/2023 (PAR)

BETWEEN:

D.S. RAMALINGAIAH
DEAD BY HIS LRS.

SMT. SUSHEELAMMA
W/O LATE RAMALINGAIAH
SINCE DEAD BY LRS.

1. SRI. YATHEESHA
S/O LATE D.S. RAMALINGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS
2. SRI. RAJASHEKAR
S/O LATE D.S. RAMALINGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
3. SRI. CHANDRA SHEKARIAH D.S.
S/O LATE D.S. SIDDALINGAPPA
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
4. KUM. D.S. DEVEERAMMA
D/O LATE D.S. SIDDALINGAPPA
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS
5. SRI. NAGARAJU
S/O LATE D.S. SIDDALINGAPPA
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS

THE PETITIONERS NO.1 TO 5 ARE

Digitally signed
by DEVIKA M
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



R/AT DODDERI VILLAGE
BELLAVI HOBLI-572 107
TUMAKURU TALUK
TUMAKURU DISTRICT.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. GOVINDARAJA T., ADVOCATE - ABSENT)

AND:

1. SRI. D.S. DAMARUGENDRA
S/O S. SHANMUKAIAH
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS

KUM. GANGAMBIKA
D/O S. SHANMUKHAIHAH
DEAD BY LRS RESPONDENTS NO.1 AND 2.

2. SRI. S. SHANUMKHAIAH
S/O LATE D.S. RAMALINGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS

D.S. VAJRAPPA
DEAD BY HIS LRS

3. SMT. PARVATHAMMA
W/O LATE D.S. VAJRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS

4. SMT. NIRMALA
D/O LATE D.S. VAJRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS

5. SRI. RENUKAPRASAD
S/O LATE D.S. VAJRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS

6. SMT. SHASHIKALA
D/O LATE D.S. VAJRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS



7. SRI. LOKESH
S/O LATE D.S. VAJRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS

RESPONDENTS NO.1 TO 7 ARE
R/AT DODDERI VILLAGE
BELLAVI HOBLI
TUMAKURU TALUK
TUMAKURU DISTRICT-572 107.

SMT. RUDRAMMA
W/O LATE SIDDALINGAPPA D.S.
SINCE DEAD BY LRS

8. SMT. GANGAMMA
W/O MARILINGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
R/AT MMA KAVAL VILLAGE
DODDERIKATTE IN FRONT OF
SUGUNA POULTRY FARM
ERAKASANDRA POST
CHELUR HOBLI, GUBBI TALUK
TUMAKURU DISTRICT-572 117.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SIDDARAMAIAH V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R1)

THIS REVIEW PETITION IS FILED UNDER ORDER 47 RULE 1 OF CPC 1908, PRAYING TO REVIEW THE FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER IN RSA NO.438/2023 (PAR) ON THE FILE OF THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, BENGALURU DATED 24.10.2025 FOR REVIEWING IT FOR CONSIDERING IT IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW AND TO ALLOW THE PETITION WITH COST, IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONERS IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH



ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for the review petitioners is absent.

2. In spite of matter is listed second time for non-compliance of office objection, no representation on behalf of review petitioners and learned counsel for the review petitioners is not pursuing the matter diligently.

Accordingly, the review petition is ***dismissed***.

**Sd/-
(H.P.SANDESH)
JUDGE**

ST
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 13