



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 17TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

WRIT PETITION NO. 16416 OF 2026 (GM-KEB)

BETWEEN:

PRABHAKAR CHAR S.D.,
S/O DODDAIRACHAR,
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS
RESIDING AT GANDHINAGARA,
AMBEDKAR ROAD, SIRA TOWN,
TUMAKURU-572 137

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI.SANKETH, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI.KESHAVA K.V., ADVOCATE)

AND:

ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
(ELE, CO AND M) BESCOM,
SIRA SUB DIVISION, SIRA TALUK,
TUMAKURU- 572 137

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI.H.V.DEVARAJ, ADVOCATE)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION TO QUASH THE NOTICE DATED 04.05.2026 BEARING NUMBER SAKAEM(V)/ SALEAA/ HISA/ 26-27/581-84, ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT I.E., ANNEXURE- A, THEREBY DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT TO CONTINUE PROVIDING ELECTRIC CONNECTION TO THE PETITIONER BUILDING VIDE RR NO. SITL3014/30.04.2022.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

ORAL ORDER

The present writ petition is filed seeking the following prayer:

"Wherefore, the petitioner most respectfully prays that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to:

i. Issue writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction to quash the notice dated 04.05.2026 bearing number SAKAEM(V)/SALEAA/HISA/26-27/581-84, issued by the respondent to continue providing electric connection to the petitioner building vide RR No.SITL3014/30.04.2022.

ii. And grant such other relief as this Hon'ble Court deems fit to grant in the circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice and equity."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have issued notice dated 04.05.2026 directing the petitioner to come with the relevant documents. It is submitted that there is a threat of disconnection of electricity supply. Learned counsel submits that the Co-ordinate Bench of this



Court had granted stay in this matter and hence the same interim order may be granted to the petitioner.

3. When the respondent had issued a show-cause notice dated 04.05.2026, the petitioner has to submit his reply. Instead of submitting the reply, he has come before this court.

4. Mr. H.V.Devaraj, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that the petitioner ought to have submitted his reply to the notice, if the reply is given, they will consider the same and pass orders. Until such time, they will not disconnect the power supply. It is further submitted that there may be direction to pay the consumption charges regularly.

5. Having heard the learned counsels on either side, perused the material on record. The petitioner came before the Court questioning the show-cause notice dated 04.05.2026. When the show-cause notice is issued, the petitioner instead of coming to this Court should have given his reply to the same, as sought for by the petitioner, this Court cannot stay the operation of the show-cause notice, because there is no illegality in the said notice and nothing is pointed out on how



issuance of show-cause notice is bad in law. Considering all these, this Court is passing the following

ORDER

- i. The petitioner shall submit his reply to the show-cause notice dated 04.05.2026 within a period of one week from the date of receipt of the copy of the order and the respondent shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.
- ii. Till the orders are passed, the respondents shall not take any coercive steps.
- iii. In the event any adverse order is passed, the respondents shall give a reasonable time of seven days to avail the legal remedies.
- iv. The petitioner shall pay the regular consumption charges.
- v. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***disposed of***.
- vi. All I.As. in this writ petition shall stand closed.

**SD/-
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)
JUDGE**

PKN
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 59