



CNR: KAHC010355272022

NC: 2026:KHC:47814
RPFC No. 168 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 2ND DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

REV.PET FAMILY COURT NO. 168 OF 2022

BETWEEN:

ROOMAN THAYYAB,
S/O ZAHOOR AHAMED,
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
R/O NEAR HABIBIYA SHADI MAHAL
GADDEHALLA 7TH CROSS
VISHWANATHA NAGAR
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573201

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. GIRISH KUMAR B. M.,ADVOCATE (ABSENT))

AND:

1. SMT. ASMA BANU,
W/O ROOMAN THYYAB
D/O SAHEB JAN
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS,

2. INNAYA THAYYAB
D/O ROOMAN THYYAB
AGED ABOUT 06 YEARS,

3. ROAHN THAYYAB
S/O ROOMAN THYYAB
AGED ABOUT 2 YEARS,
RESPONDENT NO. 2 AND 3 ARE
CHILDREN OF ROOMAN THAYYAB MINORS
REPRESENTED BY THEIR NATURAL GUARDIAN
MOTHER RESPONDENT NO 1,

Digitally signed
by AASEEFA
PARVEEN

Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



NC: 2026:KHC:47814
RPFC No. 168 of 2022

CNR: KAHC010355272022

ALL ARE RESIDENT OF,
61, LAKYA VILLAGE AND POST,
CHIKKAMAGALURU TALUK AND
DISTRICT - 577 101.

...RESPONDENTS
(BY SRI. JAYAKIRTHI M. C., ADVOCATE FOR R1- (ABSENT);
R2 AND R3 ARE MINORS REP. BY R1)

THIS RPFC FILED U/S.19(4) OF THE FAMILY COURTS
ACT., AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED
04.07.2022 PASSED IN CrI.MISC.NO.20/2020 ON THE FILE
OF THE PRINCIPAL JUDGE, FAMILY COURT,
CHIKKAMAGALURU., PARTLY ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED
U/S.125 OF Cr.P.C FOR MAINTENANCE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:
CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

ORAL ORDER

On 24.08.2026 following order was passed:

*"Sri.Madhu M. representing Sri.Girish
Kumar B.M. seeks time on the ground that
the matter appears to have been settled
between the parties. Seeks time to verify.
Hence, list the matter on 02.09.2026 under
the caption 'for reporting settlement'."*

On this day there is no representation on either side on
call. Therefore, it is clear that the petitioner is not evincing
interest in the revision petition either due to settlement or due



CNR: KAHC010355272022

NC: 2026:KHC:47814
RPFC No. 168 of 2022

to any other cause whatsoever. Hence, this Court is of the view that, the proceedings in the revision petition are required to be closed due to non-prosecution.

Hence, this revision petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
(DR.CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA)
JUDGE

AP
CT:TSM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 23