



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 12TH DAY OF MAY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO. 14932 OF 2026 (GM-FC)

BETWEEN:

SMT PRAJAKTA RANJEET SANGLE
@ PRAJAKTA SUBHASH MORALE
W/O RANJEET MADHAV SANGLE,
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.602, IRIS,
PLAYGROUND ROAD(E),
VILE PARLE ROAD (E),
MUMBAI 400 057.

ALSO AT :

NO. 3134, DSR DOOWDWINDS,
SARJAPUR MAIN ROAD,
DODDAKANNALLI,
BENGALURU 560 035.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. MAHESH M.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

SIR. RANEET MADHAV SANGLE
S/O LATE. MADHAV VITHOBA SANGLE



AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
R/AT NO. 7, VRUKSHALI APARTMENTS,
NEAR KAMAL NEHRU PARK,
EDANDWANE,
PUNE 411 004.

ALSO AT :

NO. 3134, DSR DOOWDWINDS,
SARJAPUR MAIN ROAD,
DODDAKANNALLI,
BENGALURU 560 035.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI.KAPIL DIXIT,
ADVOCATE FOR CAVEATOR/RESPONDENT)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DTD. 27.04.2026 PASSED ON I.A.NO. 13 UNDER SECTION 26 OF THE HINDU MARRIAGE ACT FOR GRANTING VISITATION RIGHT FOR HALF OF THE VACATION ON THE FILE OF M.C.NO. 639/2025 ON THE FILE OF THE PRINCIPAL JUDGE, FAMILY COURT BENGALURU WHICH IS PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE -A AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR



ORAL ORDER

1. This petition arises out of the impugned order dated 27.04.2026 passed in M.C No. 639/2025 by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Bengaluru, whereby the application - I.A No.13 filed by the respondent - father for interim custody/visitation rights for half of the summer vacation was disposed of by the Family Court, as hereunder:

"IA No.13 filed by the petitioner under Section 26 of the Hindu Marriage Act for interim custody/visitation for half of the summer vacations is disposed of with the following directions.

It is held that the petitioner-father shall have interim custody of the daughter from 10 a.m. on 04-05-2026 to 6 p.m. on 05-05-2026, and again from 10 a.m. on 07-05-2026 to 6 p.m. on 08-05-2026. This is to ensure that the child gets used to staying overnight with the father.

Once the child has got used to the same, in the second week of May 2026, the father will have interim custody of the daughter for continuous period of 6 days from 10 a.m. on 11-05-2026 to 6 p.m. on 16-05-2026. The next one and a half weeks the child shall be with the mother from 17-05-2026 to 27-05-2026 to enable the mother to take the child to North India tour as contemplated by her.

Then the father will again have interim custody of the minor child from 10 a.m. on 28-05-2026 to 6 p.m. on 31-05-2026.



This will ensure balanced approach so that the child can spend good time with both the parents during the vacations.

Since separate arrangement has been made during the summer vacations, the regular visitation on every first and third Sunday shall be suspended during the month of May 2026.

The parties are directed to strictly comply with the timings and maintain healthy atmosphere during the visitation so that the purpose of bonding between the child and the father shall pick up and drop the child at the aforesaid dated and times from and to the residence of the respondent.

Further, the father shall ensure that during the time the child is in his custody, the child shall speak to the mother at least once a day so that the mother can be assured of the whereabouts and safety of the child.

Ordered accordingly.

*For Petitioner's evidence, call on **29.06.2026.**"*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that taking advantage of the impugned order, the respondent is creating a nuisance and unnecessarily involving the police authorities, thereby causing irreparable injury and hardship not only to the petitioner but also to the minor child and the action/act of the respondent in attempting to implement and enforce the impugned order is against



the welfare of the child, which is paramount and as such, petitioner is before this Court by way of the present petition.

3. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent -father submits that the respondent would strictly abide and comply with the directions issued by the Family Court in the impugned order and would not cause any hardship or injury or inconvenience either to the petitioner or the minor child nor involve the police authorities or anyone else for the purpose of complying with the impugned order.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I deem it just and appropriate to dispose of this petition without interfering with the interim order and by directing both the petitioner and respondent to strictly comply with and adhere to the terms and conditions of the interim order and ensure that they do not cause any inconvenience, nuisance, hardship etc. to either of the parties, and also to the minor child

5. In addition thereto, while exercising the visitation rights as granted to the respondent by the Family Court, the respondent shall not involve the police authorities or anyone else and ensure



that the visitation proceeds peacefully without causing any detriment to the welfare of the child. It is needless to state that having regard to the well settled principles that welfare of the child is paramount, both petitioner - mother and respondent - father shall co-operate and co-ordinate with each other for the purpose of ensuring that the visitation goes on smoothly without any impediment or interruption from either of the parties or anyone else.

6. Subject to the aforesaid directions, petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR)
JUDGE