



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 14135 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

SMT. MUNIYAMMA
W/O LATE NANJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
R/AT NO. DAIRY ROAD,
CHENNAKESAVANAGAR,
BENGALURU NORTH,
AND ALSO BALAGERE VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI, CHIKKABALLAPUR TALUK

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BALAKRISHNA K., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
VIDHANA SOUDHA
AMEDKAR VEEDHI
BENGALURU-560 001
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHIKKABALLAPUR DISTRICT
CHIKKABALLAPUR
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER



CHIKKABALLAPUR SUB-DIVISION
CHIKKABALLAPUR DISTRICT
CHIKKABALLAPUR

4. THE TAHASILDAR,
CHIKKABALLAPUR TALUK,
CHIKKABALLAPUR

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.RADHA.B.P, AGA)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ENDORSEMENT ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT DATED 06.02.2026 IN CASE NO.RUOSR/CR/E/NO.972375/2025-26 VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for all the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the petitioner that the petitioner was granted 2 acres 37 guntas of land in Sy.No.11 of Balagere Village, Kasaba Hobli,



Chikkaballapura Taluk in the year, 1983. Saguvali chit was also issued in favour of the petitioner and revenue entries were made in favour of the petitioner in terms of M.R.No.4/1998-99. However, after computerization, the name of the petitioner has not been continued in the computerized RTC. The prayer therefore is to issue necessary direction to the respondent-Tahsildar, Chikkaballapura Taluk, to continue the name of the petitioner in the computerized RTC.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Additional Government Advocate and on perusing the petition papers, this Court finds from the material on record that the name of the petitioner was earlier entered in the land revenue records. However, if the Tahsildar is of the opinion that the petitioner has not been granted lands, nevertheless, it is the duty of the Tahsildar to secure the original grant records, Saguvali Chit issue register and other contemporaneous records to satisfy himself regarding the grant made in favour of the



petitioner. Moreover, there is no reason why the name of the petitioner which was earlier entered in the land revenue records has been continued after computerization, the Tahsildar is duty bound to look into all these aspects and pass necessary orders.

4. The writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondent No.4-Tahsildar, Chikkaballapura Taluk, to verify from the records and find out if grant was made in favour of the petitioner and if he has paid the kimath. If it is found from the records that the facts stated hereinabove are true, then the Tahsildar shall proceed to enter the name of the petitioner in the computerised RTC in accordance with law. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.



NC: 2026:KHC:24346
WP No. 14135 of 2026

Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

rv
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 15