



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

REGULAR SECOND APPEAL NO. 615 OF 2018 (PAR)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. BHAGYAMMA
W/O SHIVALINGEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
NAGAVARA VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI,
CHANNAPATNA TALUK,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT
2. SMT THULASAMMA
W/O RAJANNA,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
GERAHALLI VILLAGE,
MADABAL HOBLI,
MAGADI TALUK,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT
3. SMT MANJULA
W/O SHIVALINGEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS
NAGAVARA VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI,
CHANNAPATNA TALUK,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT

Digitally
signed by
BHARATHI S
Location:
HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

...APPELLANTS

(BY SMT SARASWATHI M., ADVOCATE (ABSENT))



AND:

1. VENKATAPPA
S/O VENKATAIAH @ KARIYAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
R/AT HOUSE NO.82,
CHANNENA HALLI, BILAGUNBA POST
RAMANAGARA TOWN,
RAMANAGARA DISRTRICT.
2. SMT JAYAMMA
D/O PUTTALINGAMMA
W/O VENKATAIAH
R/AT HOUSE NO.82,
CHANNENA HALLI, BILAGUNBA POST
RAMANAGARA TOWN,
RAMANAGARA DISRTRICT.
3. SMT THIMMAMMA
W/O VENKATAPPA,A
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
BILAGUNBA POST,
RAMANAGARA TOWN
4. SMT PARVATHAMMA
W/O PUTTARAJU,
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS
R/AT DASHAVARA VILLAGE,
CHANNAPATNA TALUK
5. SMT SUSHEELAMMA
W/O NAGARAJU,
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS
R/AT VIJAYAPURA VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI,
RAMANAGARA TALUK

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K G SADASHIVAIAH., ADVOCATE FOR R1, R3 & R4
SRI. K.S. KALLESHPA, ADVOCATE FOR R2
R5 SERVED)



THIS RSA IS FILED U/S. 100 OF CPC., AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT AND DECREE DTD 27.10.2017 PASSED IN R.A.NO. 62/2016 ON THE FILE OF THE III ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANAGARA, DISPOSING OF THE APPEAL AND MODIFYING THE JUDGEMENT AND DECREE DTD 22.08.2016 PASSED IN OS.NO.452/2012 ON THE FILE OF THE ADDITIONAL SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, RAMANAGARA.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. None appears for the appellants.
2. Cost not paid.
3. Appeal having been filed on 12.03.2018, till today, learned counsel for the appellants has not chosen to address the arguments on admission of the appeal.
4. Perused the papers.
5. Suit of the plaintiffs seeking partition of their shares in the suit property was decreed which was confirmed by the first appellate Court.



**NC: 2026:KHC:34385
RSA No. 615 of 2018**

6. As could be seen from the decree of the trial Court, what has been ordered is only declaration of the shares and as such, appeal stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

**Sd/-
(V SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE**

BS
List No.: 1 SI No.: 5