



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE K.MANMADHA RAO

REV.PET FAMILY COURT NO.115 OF 2024

C/W

REV.PET FAMILY COURT NO.193 OF 2025

IN RPFC No. 115/2024

BETWEEN:

SRI NAVEEN KUMAR
S/O. CHANDRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS
R/A BANAVARA
BELLAVI HOBLI
TUMKUR TALUK
TUMKUR DISTRICT-572107

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT.P.C.VINITHA.,ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed
by
VIJAYALAKSHMI
B N

Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

AND:

1. MIS. VEENA B.N
W/O NAVEEN KUMAR
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS
2. TUSHAR
S/O NAVEEN KUMAR
AGED ABOUT 7 YEARS
MINOR REP BY NATURAL GUARDIAN
MOTHER VEENA B.N.

BOTH ARE RESIDING AT
BANVARA VILLAGE
BELLAVI HOBLI
TUMKUR TALUK AND DISTRICT-572107



TUMKUR DISTRICT-572107

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAVAIAH.,ADVOCATE)

THIS RPFC IS FILED UNDER SEC.19(4) OF THE FAMILY COURT ACT., AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 03.08.2023 PASSED IN CRI.MISC NO.146/2021 ON THE FILE OF THE I ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, TUMAKURU, PARTLY ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED UNDER SEC.125 OF Cr.P.C., FOR MAINTENANCE.

IN RPFC NO. 193/2025

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. VEENA B N
W/O NAVEEN KUMAR
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS
2. TUSHAR
S/O NAVEEN KUMAR
AGED ABOUT 9 YEARS
SINCE MINOR R/BY
NATURAL GUARDIAN MOTHER
VEENA B.N

BOTH ARE RESIDING AT
BANAVARA VILLAGE
BELLAVI HOBLI
TUMKURU TALUK AND
DISTRICT -572101.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. PARAVAIAH.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

SRI NAVEEN KUMAR
S/O CHANDRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS
R/A BANAVAR VILLAGE



BELLAVI HOBLI
TUMKURU TALUK AND DISTRICT -572 101.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SMT.P.C. VINITHA.,ADVOCATE)

THIS RPFC IS FILED UNDER SEC.19(4) OF FAMILY COURTS, ACT., AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 3.08.2023 PASSED IN CRL.MISC NO.146/2021 ON THE FILE OF I ADDITIONAL PRL. JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, TUMAKURU., PARTLY ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED UNDER SEC.125 OF Cr.P.C., FOR MAINTENANCE.

THESE PETITIONS, COMING ON FOR REPORTING SETTLEMENT, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE K.MANMADHA RAO

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents are present.

2. This matter was referred to the Karnataka Mediation Centre, Bengaluru for mediation and the matter was settled between the parties. The mediation report was also received from the Karnataka Mediation Centre and the same reads as under:



"1. Both parties state that their marriage was solemnized on 05.02.2015 at Sri Mahalakshmi Temple, Goravanahalli, Koratagere Taluk, Tumkuru District as per Hindu rites and customs. Further both the parties state that they have a son by name Master Tushar aged about 9 years who is presently under the care and custody of the Respondent No.1/Mother and continues to be so in future for which the petitioner/father has no objection.

2. In view of the parties prosecuting the cases against each other, their relationship is strained and that they are not able to live together as husband and wife and they have reached a point of no return and the marriage between them has broken down irretrievably. As such they have decided to get their marriage dissolved by filing a mutual consent petition on or before 15th October 2025.

3. The Petitioner has agreed to pay a sum of Rs.9,50,000/- (Rupees Nine Lakhs Fifty Thousand Only) to the Respondent No.1 as full and final settlement of all her claims of maintenance/permanent alimony. The Respondent No.1 has agreed to accept the said sum as full and final settlement of all her claims of maintenance/permanent alimony. The Petitioner has agreed to pay the said amount in the following manner:

a) A sum of Rs.2,50,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Fifty Thousand Only) will be paid by the Petitioner to the Respondent No.1 at the time of filing the mutual consent petition for dissolution of their marriage on or before 15th October 2025.

b) The balance amount of Rs.7,00,000/- (Rupees Seven Lakhs Only) will be paid by the Petitioner to the Respondent No.1 on or before 15th January



NC: 2026:KHC:13165
RPFC No. 115 of 2024
C/W RPFC No. 193 of 2025

2026 by way of online transfer to the account of the Respondent No.1 bearing A/c No.110164453434, Canara Bank, Antharasanahalli Branch, Tumkur, IFSC Code: CNRB005075.

4. In view of this settlement both the parties have agreed to withdraw/close/quash the following pending cases in accordance with law:

i) CrI.Misc No.287/2023 filed by the Respondent No.1 against the Petitioner pending on the file of I Addl. Family Court, Tumkuru.

ii) C.C.No.4722/2022 filed by the Respondent No.1 under section 498A of IPC against the petitioner and his family members pending on the file of II Addl. Senior Civil Judge, Tumkuru.

iii) CrI.P.No.3088/2025 filed by the Petitioner pending on the file of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bengaluru.

iv) W.P.No.35766/2024 filed by the Petitioner pending on the file of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bengaluru.

5. Both the parties state that the Respondent No.2 Master Tushar has filed a suit for partition through natural guardian mother in O.S.No.318/2022 against the petitioner which was also decreed declaring that the Respondent No.2 is entitled for 1/2 share in the 1/3rd share of the petitioner's ancestral properties against which FDP No. 65/2024 pending on the file of 1st Addl. Senior Civil Judge, Tumkuru. The Petitioner and his father and his sister has challenged the said decree in RFA No. 2384/2024 which is pending on the file of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bengaluru. In view of the same the Petitioner is not paying any maintenance for the minor son Master. Tushar and his right is



subject to the result of RFA pending before this Hon'ble Court.

6. Both the parties state that apart from the above they do not have any claim against each other in respect of maintenance and alimony, either present, past or in future as also in respect of the properties held by each one of them either in the present or to be held in future.

7. Both parties state that they will not interfere with each other in future and make a peaceful living without resorting to filing of cases or complaint/s.

8. The parties further state that there has been no collusion or force, fraud or any undue influence by any of the parties to enter into this into this compromise in the aforesaid manner”.

3. In view of the above settlement, these petitions are ***disposed of***.

SD/-
(DR.K.MANMADHA RAO)
JUDGE

GSR
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 27