



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 12TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 13754 OF 2026 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

MS. SANDRA ALISTAIR
D/O ALISTAIR LIVINGSTONE
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS
R/AT NO. 16, GREEN COUNTY ENCLAVE,
PETE KRISHNAPPA LAYOUT,
K CHANNASANDRA, HORAMAVU POST,
BENGALURU-560043.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. RAMAKRISHNAN S.,ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed
by VANAMALA
N



Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

AND:

1. GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY
REP. BY ITS CHIEF COMMISSIONER
OFFICE/AT NO 5, 3RD FLOOR,
ANNEX BUILDING NUMBER,
OPPOSITE LIC BUILDING,
NEAR TOWN HALL, HUDSON CIRCLE,
BENGALURU-560002.
2. BENGALURU EAST CITY CORPORATION
REP. BY ITS COMMISSIONER OFFICE/
AT RHB COLONY, OPPOSITE PHOENIX MALL,
WHITEFIELD MAIN ROAD,
MAHADEVAPURA POST,



BENGALURU - 560048.

3. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER/
ZONAL OFFICER
BENGALURUR EAST CITY CORPORATION
HORAMAVU SUBDIVISION
JAYANTHINAGAR MAIN ROAD
NEAR GANDHI STATUTE, ASHIRVAD COLONY
HORAMAVU, BENGALURU-560113.
4. ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
BENGALURU EAST CITY CORPORATION
RAMAMURTHY NAGAR DIVISION
2ND FLOOR GLASS DOOR BUILDING
OPP. RAMAMURTHY NAGAR POLICE STATION
BENGALURU-560016.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. B L SANJEEV., ADVOCATE FOR R1 TO R4)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS THEREBY DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NOS.1 AND 4 TO ACT UPON WRITTEN COMPLAINTS FILED BY THE PETITIONER DATED 14.11.2025 (ANNEXURE-G) AND 22.12.2025 (ANNEXURE H) AND FOLLOW DUE PROCESS OF LAW IN THIS REGARD.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD



ORAL ORDER

The petitioner contends that the owner of a property, which is opposite to the property owned by her, has constructed building access through her property encroaching a public road that separates the two properties. The petitioner relies upon a set of photographs [Annexure-D], some of which read as under.





The petitioner has not arrayed the owner of the opposite property as a party and this Court is of the view that there cannot be any order in this petition except an order directing the third respondent to consider whether the construction as seen in the photographs would be permissible after issuing notice to the aforesaid owner and file a report into this Court on the action taken if such construction is not permissible.

The petition stands disposed of in the afore terms and also calling upon the third respondent to file a report with the Registry within eight [8] weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order on the action taken, and the petitioner is reserved with liberty to file a certified copy of this order with the third respondent within a week from the date of receipt thereof.

Sd/-
(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE

NV