



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 13048 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. A.G.RAJANI,
W/O. M. SOMASHEKAR,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
R/AT NO.35, 11TH BLOCK, 2ND STAGE,
PAPAREDDYPALYA,
BANGALORE - 560 072.
2. SRI. M. SOMASHEKAR,
S/O. LATE M. RAMANNA,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
R/AT NO. 35, 11TH BLOCK, 2ND STAGE,
PAPAREDDYPALYA,
BANGALORE - 560 072.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. GOUTHAM. M, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SRI. RINITHA. K,
W/O. LATE ASHOKAN. P,
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
R/AT NO.29, "YASHASWINI"
NO.IV-7/401, 4TH FLOOR, 1ST C CROSS,
2ND STAGE, 11TH BLOCK,
PAPAREDDY PALYA, NAGARABHAVI,
BANGALORE-560 072.

...RESPONDENT

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE HON'BLE

Digitally signed
by
DHANALAKSHMI
MURTHY

Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



CITY CIVIL JUDGE AND SESSIONS JUDGE, (CCH-18),
BENGALURU, TO DISPOSE OF O.S.2661/ 2025 (ANNEXURE-A),
PENDING ON ITS FILE, WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE
FIXED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

In this writ petition, the petitioners-defendant Nos.1
and 2 have sought the following reliefs:

*"i. Direct the Hon'ble City Civil Judge and Sessions
Judge, (CCH-18), Bengaluru to dispose of
O.S.No.2661/2025 (Annexure-A), pending on its file,
within six months from the date fixed by this Hon'ble
Court.*

*ii. Pass any other order/s this Hon'ble Court may deem fit
considering the facts and circumstances of the case.*

2. For the sake of convenience, the parties are
referred to as per their ranking before the Trial Court in
Original Suit.

3. The respondent/plaintiff filed a suit in
O.S.No.2661/2025 before the XIX Addl. City Civil and
Sessions Judge, Bengaluru (hereinafter referred to as 'Trial



Court' for short) seeking for relief of injunction. After issuance of suit summons, the defendants have appeared and filed written statement along with counter claim. So far, the Trial Court has not framed any issues. The suit is of the year 2025. Therefore, the present writ petition is filed seeking for early disposal of the suit.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the suit is of the year 2025. The plaintiff is dragging the matter on one pretext or the other. Hence, they have sought for a direction to the Trial Court to dispose of the suit within a fixed time frame.

5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the Trial Court to dispose of the suit as expeditiously as possible.

6. Accordingly, the following order is passed:



ORDER

- a) The writ petition is **disposed of**.
- b) The Trial Court is directed to dispose of the suit in accordance with law and as expeditiously as possible, within one year from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, provided there is no order of stay of the said proceedings granted by any Court of law.
- c) Parties are directed to co-operate for early disposal of the suit.

Sd/-
(H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD)
JUDGE