



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 7TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE P SREE SUDHA

CIVIL PETITION NO. 139 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

SMT. SOUNDARYA S.
W/O PRAJWAL C.M.,
D/O SOMANNA R.,
AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS,
RESIDING AT 7TH 'A' CROSS,
BALAJINAGARA, THIGALARAPALYA MAIN ROAD,
PEENYA 2ND STAGE,
BENGALURU NORTH TALUK,
BENGALURU - 560 058.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. AVINASHA M., ADVOCATE)

AND:

SRI. PRAJWAL C.M.
S/O MUDDAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,
R/AT NO.72/1, HARSHA KUTIRA,
SHANKARAPURA LAYOUT,
CHAMARAJANAGARA TOWN,
CHAMARAJANAGARA DISTRICT - 571 313

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. RAVIKUMARA M., ADVOCATE)

THIS CP IS FILED UNDER SEC.24 OF CPC., PRAYING TO
WITHDRAW THE MC NO.88/2025 PENDING BEFORE THE

Digitally signed
by
PADMASHREE
SHEKHAR
DESAI
Location: High
Court of
Karnataka





HONBLE I ADDITIONAL SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC,
CHAMARAJANAGARA AND TRANSFER THE SAME TO THE
HONBLE I ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL JUDGE, FAMILY COURTS, AT
BENGALURU.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE P SREE SUDHA

ORAL ORDER

Heard both sides.

2. This transfer petition is filed by the petitioner –
wife and she has sought for transfer of M.C.No.88/2025
filed by the respondent for divorce before the I Additional
Senior Civil Judge and JMFC, Chamarajanagara to I
Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Bengaluru.

3. It is stated that she is residing in Bangalore
with her parents and brother. She also filed
M.C.No.166/2026 under Section 12 of the DVC Act. It is
stated that the respondent is well settled, is doing cable
network business and earning Rs.3,00,000/- to



Rs.4,00,000/- per month. It is further stated that it is inconvenient for her to travel to Bangalore.

4. In an objections filed by the respondent counsel, it is stated that mere filing of an application under DVC Act is not a reason to transfer. That marriage was performed in the year 2024. Presently he is not working and earning. He has very limited financial means and his parents are depending on him and he has to take care of their day to day needs in medical care. His father is handicapped and frequent travel to Bengaluru will cause inconvenience to him and will have adverse effect on the well being of his parents.

5. Admittedly, both the parties have filed two applications, one for divorce and one for restitution of conjugal rights. Both the cases are to be tried together by one Court to avoid conflicting judgments. The distance between both the places is 170 Kms. Initially husband filed O.P. for divorce and later she filed for restitution of



conjugal rights. However she is residing with her parents at Bangalore and depending on them. Therefore this Court finds it reasonable to transfer M.C. No. 88/2025 from I Additional Senior Civil Judge and JMFC, Chamarajanagara to I Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Bengaluru to try along with M.C. No. 1601/2026 filed for restitution of conjugal rights.

6. The Family Court is specifically directed to club the matters and dispose of them to avoid conflicting decisions. Family Court is also directed not to insist upon the presence of the respondent unless there is any requirement.

7. Respondent is at liberty to file an affidavit and he can be cross-examined either through Commissioner or through video conferencing.

8. Accordingly, Civil Petition is ***allowed***.



NC: 2026:KHC:34881
CP No. 139 of 2026

In view of the disposal of the petitioner pending I.As,
if any do not survive for consideration and accordingly
closed.

Sd/-
(P SREE SUDHA)
JUDGE

BVK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 13