



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 15TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S VISHWAJITH SHETTY
CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 5324 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

DADAPIR
S/O SYED KALIEEL @-SHEIK KALIEEL
AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.4/9, 1ST MAIN
4TH CROSS, KOGILU LAYOUT
YELAHANKA, BANGALORE - 560 064.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI NAGARAJA K.R, ADV.)

AND:

STATE BY SAMPIGEHALLI POLICE STATION
REPRESENTED BY S.P.P.
HIGH-COURT BUILDING
BANGALORE - 560 001.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI LAXMAN B, HCGP)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 439 CR.PC (FILED U/S 483 BNSS)
PRAYING TO ENLARGE HIM ON BAIL IN CR.NO.524/2025 OF
SAMPIGEHALLI POLICE ON THE FILE OF THE HONBEL XXXIV
ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND SPL.JUDGE FOR NDPS
CASES AT BENGALURU HE MAY BE RELEASED ON BAIL.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER
WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S VISHWAJITH SHETTY

ORAL ORDER

1. Accused in Crime No.524/2025 registered by
Sampigehalli Police Station, Bengaluru, for the offences



punishable under Sections 8(c) and 22(C) of the NDPS Act, 1985, is before this Court in this petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, seeking regular bail.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

3. FIR in Crime No.524/2025 was registered by Sampigehalli Police Station, Bengaluru, for the aforesaid offences against the petitioner herein based on the first information dated 11.12.2025 received from Manjunath D K, police officer attached to Sampigehalli Police Station, Bengaluru. In the said case, petitioner was arrested on 11.12.2025 and remanded to judicial custody. His bail application filed before the Trial Court in Crl.Misc.No.1123/2026 was rejected on 04.03.2026. Therefore, he is before this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has no other criminal antecedents. The contraband article seized has now tested positive to a drug known as 'methamphetamine' and therefore, the seized contraband article is of intermediate quantity. Accordingly, he prays to allow the petition.



5. Per contra, learned HCGP, who has opposed the petition however, does not dispute the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. Based on credible information received, a raid was allegedly conducted to the spot where accused was making attempts to sell contraband article to the public and from his possession 21 grams of contraband article allegedly MDMA was seized under a mahazar. Petitioner was arrested and was subsequently produced before the Court and remanded to judicial custody. The contraband article which was seized in the present case was thereafter, forwarded to Forensic Science Laboratory for chemical examination. It is not in dispute that the contraband article has now tested positive to a drug known as 'methamphetamine' and tested negative to the drug known as 'MDMA'. 21 grams of methamphetamine is considered as intermediate quantity under the relevant Notification issued under the provisions of NDPS Act, 1985. Undisputedly, petitioner has no other criminal antecedents. The rigor under Section 37(1)(b) of the NDPS Act cannot be made applicable in the present case since seized contraband article is not of



commercial quantity. Under the circumstances, I am of the opinion that the prayer made by the petitioner for grant of regular bail needs to be answered affirmatively. Accordingly, the following:-

7. The petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in Crime No.524/2025 registered by Sampigehalli Police Station, Bengaluru, for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) and 22(C) of the NDPS Act, 1985, subject to the following conditions:

- a) Petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties for the likesum, to the satisfaction of the jurisdictional Court;
- b) The petitioner shall appear regularly on all the dates of hearing before the Trial Court unless the Trial Court exempts his appearance for valid reasons;
- c) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly threaten or tamper with the prosecution witnesses;
- d) The petitioner shall not involve in similar offences in future;



e) The petitioner shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Trial Court without permission of the said Court until the case registered against him is disposed off.

Sd/-
(S VISHWAJITH SHETTY)
JUDGE

DN
List No.: 1 SI No.: 91