



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 10259 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI. VENKATESH
S/O LATE THIMMAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
R/AT CHOODAHALLI VILLAGE,
KANAKAPURA MAIN ROAD,
BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK,
BENGALURU.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. HIMA KIRANA S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed
by YASHODA K L
Location: High
Court Of
Karnataka

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY ITS SECRETARY,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
M.S BUILDING, BENGALURU 560 001.
2. THE TAHSILDAR,
BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK,
KANDAYA BHAVANA
BENGALURU - 560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.B.P.RADHA., AGA)



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OR ORDER OR DIRECTION, WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NO.2 TO CONTINUE THE NAME OF THE PETITIONER IN THE RTC OF THE LAND BEARING SY NO.132 TO AN EXTENT OF 2-00 ACRES OF B.M KAVAL VILLAGE BY CONSIDERING THE REPRESENTATIONS GIVEN BY THE PETITIONER VIDE ANNEXURE M. N. P AND Q AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for both the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the petitioner that the petitioner's father, Late.Sri.Thimmaiah, was granted 2.00 acres of land in Sy.No.132 of the B.M.Kaval Village, Kengeri Hobli, Bengaluru South Taluk, by orders of the Deputy Commissioner on 07.11.1960. It is contended that in the year 1961, Sri.Thimmaiah deposited the upset price into the Government Treasury, pursuant to which his name was entered in the revenue records. The revenue records continued till the year 1989-1997. However, after



computerization and digitization, the name of the petitioner's father was not continued in the computerized RTC. Therefore, the prayer in the writ petition is to direct the respondent No.2-Tahsildar to continue the name of the petitioner's father in the revenue records and thereafter consider the application filed by the petitioner to enter the name of the legal heirs of Late.Sri.Thimmaiah in the revenue records.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Additional Government Advocate and on perusing the petition papers, this Court finds from the material on record that the name of the petitioner's father-Late.Sri.Thimmaiah , was earlier entered in the land revenue records. However, if the respondent No.2-Tahsildar is of the opinion that the petitioner's father has not been granted lands, it is the duty of the respondent No.2-Tahsildar to secure the original Grant Records, Saguvali Chit Issue Register and other contemporaneous records to satisfy himself regarding the grant made in



favour of the petitioner's father. Moreover, there is no reason why the name of the petitioner's father, which was earlier entered in the land revenue records has not been continued after computerization, the respondent No.2-Tahsildar is duty bound to look into all these aspects and pass necessary orders in accordance with law. Thereafter, the Tahsildar shall verify if the petitioner is the legal heir of Late.Sri.Thimmaiah, issue notice, hear all concerned parties. Thereafter, if it is found out that the petitioner is the legal heir of Late.Sri.Thimmaiah, the Tahsildar shall proceed to enter the name of the petitioner along with other legal heirs, as the case may be in the revenue records in accordance with law.

4. Consequently, this writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a specific direction to respondent No.2-Tahsildar, Bengaluru South Taluk, to consider the representation given by the petitioner and in the light of the observations made hereinabove, verify the original records in the presence of the petitioner and pass necessary orders to



enter the name of the petitioner's father-Late.Sri.Thimmaiah, in the computerized RTC and land revenue records as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

DL
CT: JL