



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 1ST DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

WRIT PETITION NO. 10271 OF 2026 (GM-FC)

BETWEEN:

SRI. YASWANTH THOTAMSETTY
S/O THOSAMSETTY SREERAMULU
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS
R/AT NO.133, 1ST FLOOR
19TH CROSS, 20TH MAIN
5TH PHASE, J.P. NAGAR
BANGALORE - 560 040.

...PETITIONER

Digitally signed
by AASEEFA
PARVEEN

Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

(BY SRI. PAWAN KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. H. DEVENDRAPPA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SMT. SRAVANI KALAVALA
W/O YASHWANTH THOTAMSETTY
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS
R/AT FLAT NO.A-708,



S V SIGNATURE APARTMENT
ITPL MAIN ROAD, RAJPALYA
BANGALORE - 48.

...RESPONDENT

(SERVICE OF NOTICE TO RESPONDENT IS DISPENSED VIDE
ORDER DATED 01.06.2026)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO CALL FOR
RECORDS PERTAINING THE MC NO. 390/2025 FROM THE
IV ADDL. PRL. JUDGE FAMILY COURT BENGALURU CITY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS
UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA



ORAL ORDER

Heard Sri. Pawan Kumar who represents Sri.H.Devendrappa learned counsel on record for the petitioner.

2. Having heard at length learned counsel for the petitioner and upon perusing the relevant material that is brought on record, this Court is of the view that the writ petition can effectively be disposed of without issuance of notice to respondent.

3. This writ petition is filed seeking the Court to set aside the order passed by the Court of IV Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Bangalore on I.A No.III in M.C.No.390/2025 dated 06.02.2026.

4. Respondent/wife filed I.A. No.III seeking the Court to direct the petitioner herein to pay a sum of Rs.60,000/- per month towards interim maintenance of their minor daughter Kumari. Sai Dhiya and litigation expenses of Rs.1,00,000/-. Family Court through the impugned order



directed the petitioner herein to pay respondent a sum of Rs.8,000/- per month towards interim maintenance of the child and litigation expenses of Rs.10,000/-. Aggrieved by the same this writ petition is filed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner earns Rs.1,25,000/- per month and respondent earns Rs.1,59,000/- per month. Thus the income of the respondent is much higher than that of the petitioner. With her earnings respondent can maintain the child well. However, petitioner is willing to give some amount towards the maintenance of the child. Petitioner can pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- only. Therefore, the impugned order may be modified.

6. By the submission that is made by learned counsel for the petitioner himself it is clear that petitioner as well as the respondent are employees and have their own source of income. While the monthly income of the petitioner is Rs.1,25,984/-, respondent earns



Rs.1,59,938/- per month. Admittedly the child born in the year 2023 who is aged around 3 years, at present is being looked after by the respondent. Respondent/wife who is also an employee admittedly has to take the services of any other person to look after the child while she goes for work. That apart respondent has to incur necessary expenditure for the food, extra nourishment, clothing, medical facilities and other needs for the overall growth of the child.

7. Taking into consideration the totality of facts, Family Court directed the petitioner herein to pay the respondent a sum of Rs.8,000/- per month towards interim maintenance of the child. A person who earns around Rs.1,25,000/- can spend a sum of Rs.8,000/- for the maintenance of his child. No material is on record to show that the petitioner has got any other preferential obligations or necessities. Therefore, this Court is of the view that there are no grounds to interfere with the well reasoned order of the Family Court and the findings given.



Resultantly, following:-

ORDER

- i. The writ petition is dismissed.
- ii. As the writ petition is disposed of without notice to the respondent, Family Court, Bangalore is directed to serve a copy of this order upon the respondent or her counsel so as to give notice to the respondent about filing of this writ petition and its disposal.
- iii. Registry to forward copy of this order to the concerned Court forthwith.

**Sd/-
(DR.CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA)
JUDGE**

VS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 18