



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 15TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 10284 OF 2026 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

MK TRAVELS,
A REGISTERED PROPRIETORSHIP CONCERN
BEARING REG. NO 29IZEPK0114C1ZR
REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETORSHIP,
MR. MUKESH KUMAR,S/O LIKHAMA RAM,
AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS,
R/@ NO. 48 PROPERTY,NO.121, KHATA NO.353,
KOTHANUR, BENGALURU,
RAMPURA VILLAGE, BIDARAHALLI
HOBLI, KARNATAKA-560077

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. AKASH B SHETTY, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. NODAL CYBER CELL OFFICER
CYBER CRIME DIVISION,CID HEADQUARTERS,
CARLTON HOUSE, PALACE ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 001, KARNATAKA
E-MAIL CYBERCRIMENODAL@KSP.GOV.IN
2. KARNATAKA BANK,
REPRESENTED BY THE BRANCH MANAGER
KARNATAKA BANK,BANASWADI BRANCH,
D.NO.963, 6-AC,BANASWADI OUTER RING ROAD,
HRBR LAYOUT, BLOCK-I,KALYAN NAGAR,
BANASWADI,BANGALORE, KARNATAKA - 560 043

...RESPONDENTS

Digitally signed
by SHWETHA
RAGHAVENDRA
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



(BY SRI. MOHAMMED JAFFAR SHAH, AGA FOR R1
SRI. NITHIN CHANDRA .M., ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A DIRECTION TO THE RESPONDENT NO.2 BANK TO UNFREEZE THE CURRENT ACCOUNT OF THE PETITIONER AND PERMIT THE PETITIONER TO USE AND OPERATE NO.0126202400002001, BANK ITS HAVING IFSC ACCOUNT BEARING KARB0000126, MAINTAINED IN THE KARNATAKA BANK, BANASWADI BRANCH, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING - B GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. Petitioner is before this Court seeking for the following reliefs:

"WHEREFORE, the Petitioner pray that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:

I. Issue a direction to the Respondent No.2 Bank to unfreeze the Current account of the Petitioner and permit the Petitioner to use and operate No.0126202400002001, its bank having account bearing IFSC:KARB0000126, maintained in the Karnataka Bank, Banaswadi Branch, Bangalore, Karnataka.



II. Grant such other and further orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case in the interest of justice and equity".

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that though a lien to only an extent of Rs.94,118.93/- has been initiated against the account of the petitioner, the respondent bank has frozen the entire bank. As held by this Court in ***Sri.Madhu vs. The Indusind Bank Ltd represented by the Branch Manager¹***, the respondent - bank can only mark a lien and freeze the account only in respect of the order which have been received by them.
3. Admittedly, in the present matter, the lien is only to an extent of Rs.94,118.93/- only. Hence, the entire account could not have been frozen.
4. Hence, I pass the following:

¹ ***W.P.NO.38362/2025***



ORDER

- (i) Writ Petition is ***allowed***.
- (ii) Respondent No.2 - bank is permitted to mark lien only to an extent of Rs.94,118.93/- on the account of the petitioner and permit the petitioner to operate the account as regard to the balance amounts subject to the petitioner furnishing indemnity and such other documentation as required as security for the said amounts.
- (iii) Needless to say in the event of the respondent - bank receiving any further lien orders or frozen order, this order would not come in the way of respondent No.2 - bank enforcing the same.

**Sd/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE**

brn
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 47