



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G BASAVARAJA

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.538 OF 2026 (U/S 14(A) (2))

BETWEEN:

SATHYANARAYANA BANDLAMUDI,
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
S/O KOTESHWARA RAO BANDLAMUDI,
R/O NO.443, KHB COLONY, 5TH BLOCK,
KORAMANGALA, KORAMANGALA VI BK,
BENGALURU-560095.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI VENKATESH S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY DCRE POLICE, BANGALORE RURAL,
REPTD BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BANGALORE-560001.

2. SHARAVANA
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS,
S/O LATE. VELU.D,
R/O NO.29, 9TH SQARE, MURPHY TOWN,
NEAR GOOD SHEPHARD CHURCH,
HALASURU, BENGALURU CITY,
BENGALURU-560008.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI RAJATH SUBRAMANYA, HCGP FOR R1)



THIS CRL.A. IS FILED U/S 14(A)(2) OF SC/ST (POA) ACT 1989 R/W 483(1)(B) OF BNSS (NEW) PRAYING TO MODIFY THE ORDER BY RELAXING THE CONDITION NO.VI I.E., THE APPELLANT SHALL NOT LEAVE THE JURISDICTION OF THE TRIAL COURT WITHOUT PRIOR PERMISSION OF THE COURT IMPOSED BY THIS HONOURABLE COURT IN CRL. APPL. NO.109/2026 DATED 13.02.2026 THAT FOR THE REASONS STATED ABOVE IN THE ENDS OF JUSTICE.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G BASAVARAJA

ORAL JUDGMENT

The appellant has filed this appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act read with Section 483(1)(b) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking the following relief:

*"WHEREFORE, the appellant in the above case most humbly prays that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to modify the order by relaxing the condition No.vi, i.e., **the appellant shall not leave the***



jurisdiction of the trial court without prior permission of the Court imposed by this Hon'ble Court in Crl. Appl. No.109/2026 dated 13.02.2026 that for the reasons stated above in the ends of justice."

2. Learned counsel for the appellant has urged the following grounds:

"i. The appellant submits that he is the Director of the Company of "PLEASURE SPACES (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED, and the registered office is situated in the sate of Karnataka. The Company carrying a business as builders, Developers, hirers and Dealers of all kinds of immovable properties, including apartments, Flats, shops, Hotels, Restorants, Cinema halls, multiplex complexes, Malls, Hospitals and etc.,

ii. The appellant submits that he being a Director of the Company he has to move to different countries to develop the company business and to upgrade the Company business. Further he is a native of Andra Pradesh, he required to go to his native place often and often to attend family functions and also to take care of his aged parents. Consequently, he cannot leave Bengaluru city, without getting relaxation of



condition No.vi imposed by this Hon'ble Court in Crl. Appeal No. 109/2026.

iii. The appellant submits that if this Hon'ble Court allows this I.A.No. I, by relaxing the condition No.vi, no harm or damage will be caused to the respondent. On the other hand, if it is rejected, he will be put to irreparable loss and hardship to him.

iv. The appellant is the law abiding and peace loving citizens and he has earned a good name and reputations among his friends, relatives and in his locality. He is having movable and immovable properties at his disposal. He has no any bad antecedents.

*v. The appellant submits that he was filed a Criminal Appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of The Sc/St (Prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989., for grant of anticipatory bail, in CrlAppl. No. 109/2026. After hearing the above appeal on both sides this Hon'ble Court was pleased to grant him anticipatory bail by Order dated 13.02.2026, by imposing conditions. **The condition No.vi is that the appellant shall not leave the jurisdiction of the trial court without prior permission of the Court.***

vi. The appellant submits that he has not filed similar appeal for relaxation of condition imposed by this



Hon'ble Court in Cr.Appl.No. 109/2026, dated 13.02.2026, before this Hon'ble Court or pending before any other court for grant of similar relief."

3. I have examined the material placed before this Court.

4. This Court has passed the judgment in Criminal Appeal No.109/2026 on 13.02.2026 in which this Court has imposed condition while granting bail to the appellant that the appellant shall not leave the jurisdiction of the trial court without prior permission of the Court. If the appellant wants to leave the jurisdiction of the Trial Court, he can approach the Trial Court by filing necessary application before the Trial Court. Instead of that the appellant has directly approached this Court. Hence, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The Criminal Appeal is dismissed with the following observations:

- (a) The appellant is at liberty to file necessary application before the Trial Court to leave jurisdiction of the Court.



- (b) If such application is filed, the Trial Court is directed to dispose of the same in accordance with law.

**Sd/-
(G BASAVARAJA)
JUDGE**

RSP
List No.: 19 SI No.: 2
CT: BH