



CNR: KAHC010187492020

NC: 2026:KHC:47255
CRL.P No. 3030 of 2020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 31ST DAY OF AUGUST, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 3030 OF 2020

BETWEEN:

DHYAN FOUNDATION GORAKSHA TRUST
M H PATNA GUBBI
DIST: TUMKUR - 572 216.
HAVING REGISTRATION
NO.HLS-4-00258-2015-16
THROUGH ITS AUTHORIZED
REPRESENTATIVE
SMT.RASHMI JAYAKUMAR
OCCUPATION: VOLUNTEER
AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS.

...PETITIONER

[BY SRI ANUPAM AGARWAL, ADVOCATE (AB)]

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY SUB INSPECTOR
BAGEPALLI P.S.,
CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT - 561 207.
REP BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
BANGALORE - 560 001.
2. SHAIKH MUHAMMED RAFEE
26-143-3 CHIGURUMANUPETA
DHONE KURNOOL
ANDHRA PRADESH - 518 222.
3. SHAIKH SAKINABI
DHONE KURNOOL
ANDHRA PRADESH - 518 222.

Digitally signed
by ANUSHA V
Location: High
Court of
Karnataka



NC: 2026:KHC:47255
CRL.P No. 3030 of 2020

CNR: KAHC010187492020

4. S NAZEER HUSSEN SAB
NO.61, BEHIND COURT COMPOUND,
COUNCILOR SADIA STREET,
SANTOSH NAGAR, WARD NO.18,
SIDLAGHATTA TOWN,
DIST: CHIKKABALLAPURA - 562 105
KARNATAKA.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.SOWMYA R., HCGP FOR R1;
SRI CHOKKA REDDY, ADVOCATE FOR R4;
R2 & R3 ARE SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED)

THIS CRL.P FILED U/S.482 OF CR.P.C., PRAYING TO SET
ASIDE THE ORDERS PASSED IN CR.NO.310/2019 ON THE FILE OF
THE LEARNED COURT OF CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, BAGEPALLI ON
17.10.2019 AND THE ORDER CONFIRMED IN CRL.RP.NO.88/2019 ON
THE FILE OF THE LEARNED COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHIKKABALLAPURA PASSED ON 16.03.2020.

THIS PETITION IS COMING ON FOR *ADMISSION*, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI

ORAL ORDER

Matter was passed over and called again. Learned HCGP
for respondent no.1-State and learned counsel for respondent
no.2 are present.

2. None appears for petitioner. Even on earlier
occasion, absence on behalf of petitioner was noted.



NC: 2026:KHC:47255
CRL.P No. 3030 of 2020

CNR: KAHC010187492020

3. Continued absence would indicate that petitioner is not pursuing matter diligently. Hence, petition is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution.

**Sd/-
(RAVI V HOSMANI)
JUDGE**

AV
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 23