



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 13TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 7016 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

SMT. CHIKKAMMA
W/O LATE CHIKKAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 90 YEARS,
R/AT 200/1,
8TH CROSS ROAD,
GANDHINAGAR,
MANDYA CITY,
MANDYA DISTRICT- 571 401.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SRINIVASA GOWDA B R., ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed
by
SHARADAVANI
B
Location: High
Court of
Karnataka

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS REVENUE SECRETARY
M.S. BUILDING,
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDI,
BENGALURU 560 001.
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
PANDAVAPURA SUB DIVISION,
PANDAVAPURA TOWN,
MANDYA DISTRICT,
MANDYA- 571 401.



3. THE TAHSILDAR
NAGMANGALA TALUK,
NAGMANGALA,
MANDYA DISTRICT,
MANDYA- 571 401.

4. SRI. KOMBAIAH
S/O LATE DODDA LAKKAIAH
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
R/AT KOMBINAKOPPALU VILLAGE,
DEVALAPURA HOBLI,
NAGMANGALA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT,
MANDYA- 571 401.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.V.SESHU, HCGP FOR R-1 TO R-3)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE
IMPUGNED ORDER PASSED BY THE R2 DATED 10.02.2026 IN R
MIS (NA) 367/2025 VIDE ANNEX-E AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned High Court Government Pleader takes notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3. Notice to respondent No.4 is not necessary for the following reasons:

2. Learned High Court Government Pleader submits that the order in question is passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Pandavapura Sub-Division under Section 136(2) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (for short 'the Act') and the order can be questioned before the Deputy Commissioner under Section 136(3) of the Act. However learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Assistant Commissioner had earlier passed an order at Annexure-D in proceedings bearing number RA/RMN-015/2025 rejecting the appeal filed by respondent No.4 herein and a second proceedings could not have been initiated by respondent No.4 before the Assistant Commissioner under the same provision.



However learned High Court Government Pleader submits that in the first proceedings the petitioner was not a party respondent. In the second proceedings the petitioner has been impleaded as a party respondent No.1, the petitioner has been heard and thereafter orders have been passed by the Assistant Commissioner. Therefore, right or wrong the order has been passed by the Assistant Commissioner for the first time in the presence of the petitioner and therefore the petitioner is required to make use of the alternative efficacious remedy.

3. The submission of the learned High Court Government Pleader is upheld. The writ petition is accordingly ***disposed of*** reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the Deputy Commissioner.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned High Court Government Pleader is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.



The certified copy of the impugned order is directed to be returned to the petitioner while retaining a copy for the records.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

GPG
List No.: 1 SI No.: 9