



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 2ND DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 6677 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

SMT. PORKODI
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS
W/O MR. SELVADURAI
R/ AT NO.2, BANGALORE HIGHWAY ROAD
VARADARAJASPURAM
TIRUVALLUR, NAZARPETTAI
TAMIL NADU - 600 123

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. S B TOTAD., ADVOCATE)

AND:

M/S. ROYALOK INFRASTRUCTURE LLP
(COMPANY ACT)
OFFICE AT 4TH FLOOR, NO.5
RAJ SQUARE, VIJAYA BANK COLONY
BANASWADI, OUTER RING ROAD
BANGALORE - 560043
REP. BY ITS PARTNER
MR. S. MATHAN

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI.VIJYAKUMAR PRAKASH, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI K R BHARADWAJ, ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR DIRECTION AS THE CASE MAY BE AND QUASH THE ORDER DATED 19.02.2026 PASSED BY THE LXXXII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE BANGALORE (CCH-83) IN COM O S NO 1513/2024 ON I.A.NO.5 FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-E AND ETC.

Digitally signed
by
DHANAKSHMI
MURTHY

Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

1. This writ petition is filed under Articles 226 and 227 of Constitution of India by the petitioner-defendant challenging the order dated 19.02.2026 passed by the LXXXII Additional City Civil and Sessions Judge, Bengaluru in Com.O.S.No.1513/2024, whereby I.A.No.5 filed by the petitioner-defendant under Order XI Rule 1(10) of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 read with Section 151 of the CPC for production of additional documents has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner-defendant submitted that the petitioner had handed over all the documents, which are very necessary to establish her case, to the previous advocate, but the same were not produced along with the written statement. Therefore, she has filed the said application along with the documents at the stage of her evidence to substantiate her defense.



Since all these documents are necessary to establish her case, she seeks permission to produce the same.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-plaintiff submitted that the cause shown for production of the documents was not satisfactory to the Trial Court and that she has filed the said application solely to drag the matter. Hence, he sought dismissal of the application.

4. Be that as it may, since the documents were handed over to the earlier advocate and were not produced along with the written statement, and as they are relevant for the defendant to defend her case, in the interest of justice, the order dated 19.02.2026 passed by the LXXXII Additional City Civil and Sessions Judge, Bengaluru, in Com.O.S.No.1513/2024 is liable to be set aside.

5. Hence, following order:

ORDER

a) I.A. No.5 filed under Order XI Rule 1(10) of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 read with Section 151



of the CPC is allowed, subject to the condition that the petitioner-defendant shall produce the documents on the next date of hearing, i.e., 06.03.2026, without fail, subject to payment of cost of Rs.15,000/- payable to the respondent-plaintiff.

b) Upon payment of cost, the petitioner-defendant is permitted to produce the documents on 06.03.2026.

c) The trial Court is directed to dispose of the suit as expeditiously as possible.

Sd/-
(H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD)
JUDGE