



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 6401 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

M/S GODREJ PROPERTIES LTD
COMPANY REGISTERED UNDER
THE COMPANIES ACT
HAVING ITS ZONAL OFFICE AT
UNIT NO.4 AND 5, 9TH FLOOR
GODREJ CENTRE, INDIRANAGAR
B M KAVAL, OLD MADRAS ROAD
BENGALURU-560 038
REP. BY ITS AUTHORISED SIGNATORY
MR. ESHWAR B.R.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BADRI VISHAL., ADVOCATE)

AND:

SRI K G ANJANEYA
S/O LATE. B GANGADHARAPPA
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
R/AT NO. 684/ OPH ROAD
NEW EXTENSION, KADUGODI
BENGALURU-560 067.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI.DHANANJAY V JOSHI, SENIOR ADVOCATE
FOR SRI SRIKANTH S, ADVOCATE FOR C/RESPONDENT)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE
ORDER DATED 06.02.2026 PASSED BY THE LEARNED CIVIL
JUDGE AND JMFC, BENGALURU RURAL, SITTING AT
KRISHNARAJAPURAM IN O.S.NO.2156/2025, PASSED ON
I.A.NO.3/2025 AND 1.A.NO.4/2025 FILED UNDER SECTION 151
OF CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE (ANNEXURE-A) AND ETC.

Digitally signed by
DHANALAKSHMI
MURTHY
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

1. In this writ petition, the petitioner-defendant has called in question the order dated 06.02.2026 passed by the Civil Judge and JMFC, Krishnarajapura, Bangalore Rural District on I.A.No.III and I.A.No.IV filed in O.S.No.2156/2025 vide Annexure-A.
2. For the sake of convenience, the parties are referred to as per their ranking before the Trial Court. Petitioner herein is referred to as the defendant and respondent herein is referred to as the plaintiff.
3. The plaintiff filed a suit in O.S.No.1024/2021 before the I Addl. Civil Judge, Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru, seeking relief of permanent injunction. Along with the suit, he filed an application i.e., I.A.No.I under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 of CPC seeking an order of



temporary injunction restraining the defendant from putting up any construction over the suit schedule property i.e., land bearing Survey No.48, measuring 30 guntas situated at Dommasandra Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk. The Trial Court, by order dated 05.01.2022, allowed the application and granted an order of temporary injunction restraining the defendant from putting up any construction over the suit schedule property till the disposal of the suit. Aggrieved by the order dated 05.01.2022 passed by the Trial Court, the defendant preferred M.A.No.49/2022 before the IV Additional Senior Civil Judge, Bangalore, Rural District. The First Appellate Court, by order dated 16.03.2023, dismissed the appeal and confirmed the order passed by the Trial Court. Subsequently, the suit bearing O.S.No.1024/2021 was transferred to Civil Judge & JMFC, Bengaluru Rural at Krishnarajapura and renumbered as O.S.No.2156/2025. Thereafter, plaintiff filed I.A.No.III under Order XXXIX Rule 2-A of CPC seeking detention of



the defendant in civil prison for alleged violation of order of temporary injunction dated 05.01.2022; and I.A.No.IV under Section 151 of CPC seeking police protection against the defendant alleging interference with the suit schedule property. The Trial Court, by impugned order dated 06.02.2026, directed the Office to register a separate miscellaneous case in respect of I.A.No.III. In respect of I.A.No.IV, the Trial Court, by impugned order dated 06.02.2026 has allowed the application and directed the jurisdictional police to provide all necessary aid and assistance for the implementation and enforcement of the order of temporary injunction dated 05.01.2022. Aggrieved by the said impugned order dated 06.02.2026 passed by the Trial Court on I.A.No.III and I.A.No.IV, the present petition has been filed by the defendant.

4. The Trial Court, by impugned order dated 06.02.2026, directed the Office to register a separate miscellaneous case in respect of I.A.No.III filed under Order XXXIX Rule 2-A of CPC. The same has been



registered as per the law. There is no error in passing an order for registering the I.A.No.III as a separate miscellaneous case. This Court does not find any error in the said direction. Therefore, the prayer sought in this writ petition insofar as I.A.No.III is concerned stands rejected.

5. Insofar as the prayer sought in respect of I.A.No.IV is concerned, the application is filed under Section 151 of CPC seeking police protection. The apprehension of the defendant is that instead of merely implementing the order, the police are supporting the plaintiff in altering the nature of the suit schedule property.

6. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the plaintiff-respondent submits that the police protection has been sought only to ensure that the order of the Trial Court passed on I.A.No.I is not violated by any party and that the plaintiff will not make any alteration to the nature of the property with the aid of the police.



7. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed on I.A.No.IV. However, it is clarified that the police shall extend protection only for the purpose of ensuring implementation of the Trial Court's order passed on I.A.No.I and shall not support either of the parties in making any alteration to the suit schedule property. In the event of any violation of the Trial Court's order, the police shall report the same to the concerned Court.

8. With the above observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
(H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD)
JUDGE

DM
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 23