



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 2ND DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

WRIT PETITION NO. 6457 OF 2026 (LA-KIADB)

BETWEEN:

SRI. MYLARAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
S/O. LATE HOTTEPPA,
R/AT KODEHALLI VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI,
DODDABALLAPURA TALUK,
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. CHIRANJEEVI K.R., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE - 560 001.
2. KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREAS DEVELOPMENT
BOARD,
EAST WING, KHANIJA BHAVANA,
RACE COURSE ROAD,
REP. BY CEO AND EM.
3. THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER,
KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREAS DEVELOPMENT
BOARD,

Digitally
signed by
VIJAYA P
Location:
HIGH COURT
OF
KARNATAKA



MAHARSHI ARAVINDA BHAVANA,
1ST FLOOR, NRUPATUNGA ROAD,
BANGALORE - 560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. A.S. HARISH, AGA FOR R1;
SRI. DILDAR SHIRALLI, ADV. FOR R2 AND R3)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE GENERAL AWARD BEARING NO.KIADB/LAQ/1994/2025-26 DATED 16/10/2025 IN RESPECT OF LAND BEARING SY.NO.167/1, MEASURING 2 ACRES 32 GUNTAS, SITUATED AT KONAGHATTA VILLAGE, KASABA HOBLI, DODDABALLAPURA TALUK, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT, ATTACHED HERewith ANNEXURE -J AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.1. Learned counsel Sri. Dildar Shiralli accepts notice for respondents No.2 and 3.

2. The petitioner has sought for setting aside of the general award at Annexure-J insofar as petitioner's property is concerned and sought for issuance of direction to the respondents to consider the representation of the



petitioner for grant of developed land as compensation in lieu of cash compensation.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner was ready for passing of award in terms of Section 29(2) of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966 (for short 'the Act') and are ready to accept the developed land as compensation in lieu of cash compensation in terms of policy of the respondent - Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Board (KIADB) as contained in the Government Order dated 23.02.2021. Accordingly, it is submitted that the general award may be set aside and the matter be disposed of with a direction to respondent - KIADB to consider the representation of the petitioner to pass award in terms of Section 29(2) of the Act, with a further direction to consider grant of compensation by way of developed land.

4. Learned counsel Sri. Dildar Shiralli appearing for respondent-KIADB submits that the Court may proceed



to pass appropriate orders, in light of the limited grievance of the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the general award at Annexure-J insofar as petitioner's property in Sy.No.167/1, measuring 2 acres 32 guntas, situated at Konaghatta Village, Kasaba Hobli, Doddaballapura Taluk, Bengaluru Rural District is set aside.

6. Respondent No.3 is directed to consider the request of the petitioner while taking note of the terms of the compromise entered into in O.S.No.353/2022 and pass appropriate orders keeping in mind the Government Order dated 23.02.2021.

7. Liberty is reserved to the Special Land Acquisition Officer to withdraw the amount, if deposited pursuant to the general award before the Civil Court. In the event of any dispute with regard to entitlement and apportionment of award amount, the general award at Annexure-J would stand revived.



8. Respondent No.3 is directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for passing an award in terms of Section 29(2) of the Act and also as regards granting of developed land in lieu of cash compensation and such consideration to be completed within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

9. It is further submitted by the petitioner that the inter-se dispute has been settled by virtue of compromise entered into in O.S.No.353/2022. The said aspect is noted.

Accordingly, petition is ***disposed of***.

Sd/-
(S SUNIL DUTT YADAV)
JUDGE

MCR