



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 5778 OF 2022 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI. M. MARIGOWDA
S/O S. DODDAMARIGOWDA
SINCE DEAD BY LRS

1. SMT. SUDHAMANI
D/O LATE MARIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
2. PRAKASH M
S/O LATE MARIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
3. M NAGENDRA
S/O LATE MARIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS
4. SMT. M. RADHA
D/O LATE MARIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
ALL ARE R/A NERALAKERE VILLAGE
ARAKERE HOBLI
SRIRANGAPATNA TALUK
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571 438.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SMT. MAHESHWARI M, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. HARISH H.V, ADVOCATE)



AND:

1. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MANDYA DISTRICT
MANDYA - 571 401.
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
PANDAVAPURA SUB DIVISION
PANDAVAPURA - 571 434.
3. THE TAHSILDAR
SRIRANGAPATNA TALUK
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571 438.
4. MAYIGOWDA
S/O MAYIGOWDA
SICE DEAD BY HIS LRS
- 4(A). SMT. NINGAMMA
W/O LATE MAYIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS
R/AT BETTAHALLI VILLAGE
ARAKERE HOBLI,
SRIRANGAPATNA TALUK
MANDYA DISTRICT.
- 4(B). MAHENDRA M
S/O LATE MAYIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS
R/AT BETTAHALLI VILLAGE
ARAKERE HOBLI,
SRIRANGAPATNA TALUK
MANDYA DISTRICT.



4(C). JAYAMMA
W/O SIDDEGOWDA
D/O LATE MAYIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
R/AT YACHANEHALLI
BANNURU HOBI,
T. NARASAPURA TALUK
MYSORE DISTRICT.

4(D). DEVAMMA
W/O MAHADEVA
D/O LATE MAYIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
R/AT DESHAVALLI,
KIRIGAVALU HOBLI
MALAVALLI TALUK
MANDYA DISTRICT.

4(E). SIDDAMMA
W/O NAGARAJU
D/O LATE MAYIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
R/AT BANNAHALLI, ARAKERI HOBLI
SRIRANGAPATTANA
MANDYA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SPOORTHY HEGDE N, HCGP FOR R1 TO R3;
SRI. S. ANIL KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4(A TO E))

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER
DTD 20.02.2015 PASSED BY THE KARNATAKA APPELLATE
TRIBUNAL AT BANGALORE IN REVISION PETITION NO.31/2009
(MYSORE CAMP) VIDE ANNEX-A, AND CONSEQUENTLY DISMISS



THE REVISION PREFERRED BY THE R-4 BEFORE THE KARNATAKA APPELLATE TRIBUNAL AT BANGALORE IN REVISION PETITION NO.31/2009, BY ALLOWING THE ABOVE W.P AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. In this writ petition, the petitioners are assailing the order dated 20.02.2015 passed by the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal, Bangalore, (Annexure-A), remitting the matter to respondent No.3 to reconsider the issue afresh.

3. In that view of the matter and taking into consideration that the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal has considered the order dated 18.05.2009 passed in R.A.No.4/2002 on the file of respondent No.1 and has remitted the matter to the Tahsildar, Srirangapatna Taluk,



**NC: 2026:KHC:31027
WP No. 5778 of 2022**

Mandya District, for reconsidering the same. I am of the view that no interference be called for in this writ petition.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed.***

**SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

AMA
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 60