



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 7TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 1790 OF 2025

(482(Cr.PC) / 528(BNSS))

C/W

WRIT PETITION NO. 5656 OF 2025 (GM-RES)

IN CRL.P No. 1790/2025

BETWEEN:

MRS. PRIYANKA JAIN
W/O. PANKAJ KUMAR. S,
D/O. LATE SRI. INDERCHAND JAIN,
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
PRESENTLY R/AT. NO. 20,
4TH BLOCK, KUMARA PARK WEST,
SESHADRIPURAM, BANGALORE - 560 020.

ALSO AT. VASATHI VAIBHAV
PROJECTS LLP, NO. 6, 2ND FLOOR,
OPP MUTHOOTU FINANCE,
BHOOPASANDRA MAIN ROAD,
SANJAYNAGAR, BANGALORE - 560 094.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.S. VENKATANARAYANA, ADVOCATE)



NC: 2026:KHC:34015
CRL.P No. 1790 of 2025
C/W WP No. 5656 of 2025

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
CHIKKABALLPURA RURAL POLICE STATION,
REPTD. BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT COMPLEX,
BANGALORE - 560 001.
2. SMT. V. GEETHA,
AGED MAJOR
GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL GAZATTED,
SUB- REGISTRAR,
CHIKKABALLAPURA TALUK,
CHIKKABALLAPURA - 575 003.
3. SMT. SOBHA KUMAR
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS
W/O SRI. P.R. KUMAR
R/AT NO.8, KUMARA PARK EAST
WEST PARK ROAD,
BANGALORE - 560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K. NAGESHWARAPPA, HCGP FOR R1 AND R2;
SMT. B.G. NAYANA TARA, ADVOCATE FOR R3;
SRI. ANOOP HARANAHALLI, ADVOCATE FOR PROPOSED R4
ON IA 1/2026)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 482 OF CR.PC (FILED U/S 528
BNSS) PRAYING TO 1. QUASH THE COMPLAINT DATED
28.10.2022 LODGED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2 BEFORE THE
RESPONDENT NO.1 POLICE (ANNEXURE-A).

IN WP NO. 5656/2025

BETWEEN:

MRS. PRIYANKA JAIN



NC: 2026:KHC:34015
CRL.P No. 1790 of 2025
C/W WP No. 5656 of 2025

W/O. PANKAJ KUMAR. S,
D/O. LATE SRI. INDERCHAND JAIN,
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS,
PRESENTLY R/AT. NO. 201, MONTREAL,
MANOR, SHOPPING STREET,
KUMARA PARK WEST, SESHADRIPURAM,
BANGALORE - 560 020.

ALSO AT. VASATHI VAIBHAV
PROJECTS LLP, NO. 6, 2ND FLOOR,
OPP MUTHOOTU FINANCE,
BHOOPASANDRA MAIN ROAD,
SANJAYNAGAR, BANGALORE - 560 094.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.S. VENKATANARAYANA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
CHIKKABALLPURA POLICE STATION,
REPTD. BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT COMPLEX,
BANGALORE - 560 001.
2. SMT. V. GEETHA,
GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL GAZATTED,
SUB- REGISTRAR,
CHIKKABALLAPURA TALUK,
CHIKKABALLAPURA - 575 003.
3. SMT. SOBHA KUMAR
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS
W/O SRI. P.R. KUMAR
R/AT NO.8, KUMARA PARK EAST
WEST PARK ROAD,



NC: 2026:KHC:34015
CRL.P No. 1790 of 2025
C/W WP No. 5656 of 2025

BANGALORE - 560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K. NAGESHWARAPPA, HCGP FOR R1 AND R2;
SMT. B.G. NAYANA TARA, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 R/W SEC. 482 OF THE CR.P.C PRAYING TO-QUASH THE NOTICE DTD 06.01.2025 IN CRIME NO. 193/2022 UNDER SEC 91 OF CR.P.C, 1973 ISSUED BY THE R-1 POLICE TO THE PETITIONER (ANNX-A) AND ETC.,

THESE PETITIONS, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA**

ORAL ORDER

The petitioner is before the Court calling in question the registration of a crime in crime No.193/2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 419, 464, 468, 420, 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 82 and 83 of the Registration Act, 1908.

2. Heard Sri. B.S. Venkatanarayana, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner; Sri. K. Nageshwarappa, learned High Court Government Pleader appearing for respondents No.1



and 2; Smt. B.G. Nayana Tara, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3.

3. The third respondent, who has been allegedly impersonated in the case at hand, communicates to the second respondent/Sub-Registrar, who in turn registers the subject crime.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is also a victim of such impersonation.

5. An impleading application is preferred by Smt. Shoba Kumar. It is the case of the impleading applicant that there is a confirmation deed executed by the third respondent in favour of the impleading applicant. Therefore, the third respondent must not have any grievance in the case at hand. Be that as it may.

6. In the case at hand, who has impersonated whom is a matter that is required to be investigated into. The petitioner claims to be a victim. The third respondent claims to have been impersonated. Therefore, the complaint is made to the Sub-



Registrar, who in turn has registered the complaint. In such cases, the investigation is imperative. Therefore, reserving liberty to the petitioner to knock at the doors of this Court, in the event a final report could be filed and the final report would go against the petitioner, the petitions stand ***disposed***, leaving open all the contentions.

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(M.NAGAPRASANNA)
JUDGE

JY
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 1