



CNR: KAHC010077632019

NC: 2026:KHC:36967
MFA No. 2381 of 2019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 17TH DAY OF JULY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE P SREE SUDHA

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL NO.2381 OF 2019(MV-I)

BETWEEN:

JAYARAJ ,
S/O. SMT. PREMALATHA,
AGE 11 YEARS,
REPRESENTED BY
NATURAL GUARDIAN MOTHER:

SMT. PREMALATHA,
W/O. PUSHPARAJ,
AGE 44 YEARS,
R/AT 68, CR COLONY,
IVERNADU VILLAGE,
SULLIA TALUK,
DAKSHINA KANNADA DISTRICT - 574 239.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI.JAYARAJ - APPELLANT)

AND:

1. JANARDHANA GOWDA,
S/O. DERANNA GOWDA,
NOW AGED 61 YEARS,
R/O. HONNEPPADY HOUSE,
YEDAMANGILA VILLAGE,
SULLIA TALUK,

Digitally signed
by
PADMASHREE
SHEKHAR DESAI

Location: High
Court of
Karnataka



CNR: KAHC010077632019

NC: 2026:KHC:36967
MFA No. 2381 of 2019

DAKSHINA KANNADA
DISTRICT - 574 239.

2. THE MANAGER,
UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD.,
BRANCH OFFICE,
PRABHU BUILDING, 1ST FLOOR,
OPP. ARUNA THEATER MAIN ROAD,
PUTTUR,
PUTTUR TALUK,
DAKSHINA KANNADA DISTRICT - 574 201.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.P.B.RAJU, ADVOCATE FOR R2;
NOTICE TO R1 IS DISPENSED WITH VIDE ORDER DATED
29.05.2024)

THIS MFA IS FILED U/S 173(1) OF MV ACT AGAINST THE
JUDGMENT AND AWARD DATED 11.08.2017 PASSED IN MVC
NO.216/2013 ON THE FILE OF THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND
JMFC, MACT, SULLIA, DAKSHINA KANNADA, PARTLY ALLOWING
THE CLAIM PETITION FOR COMPENSATION AND SEEKING
ENHANCEMENT OF COMPENSATION.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE P SREE SUDHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Learned counsel for the appellant is absent.



NC: 2026:KHC:36967
MFA No. 2381 of 2019

CNR: KAHC010077632019

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 is present.

This appeal is filed by the injured claimant for enhancement of compensation but the appellant has not turned up. Court notice is issued to the appellant and the same returned with an endorsement 'appellants not found, left the address'.

Even after issuance of notice, he could not be traced and thus, no purpose will be served in continuing the appeal as he failed in prosecuting the appeal for enhancement of compensation, with the diligence.

Therefore, this appeal is ***dismissed for non-prosecution.***

Sd/-
(P SREE SUDHA)
JUDGE

PSJ
List No.: 2 SI No.: 1