



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 3493 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

SRI. PUTTARUDRAIAH D.R
D/O. CHANNAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.482,
15TH CROSS,
JAKKURU LAYOUT,
YELAHANKA HOBLI,
BENGALURU - 560 064.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. MOHAMMED TIPPU SULTAN, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE - 560 001
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
2. THE TAHSILDAR,
BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DIST - 560 018.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.B.P. RADHA, AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE R2 TO
CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DATED 13/03/2023 AND

Digitally signed
by
SHARADAVANI
B

Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



31/07/2024 PRODUCED AT ANNX-D AND E RESPECTIVELY
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes
notice for both the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the petitioner that the
petitioner was granted 4 acres and 34 guntas of land in
Survey No.67 of Devagere Village, Kengeri Hobli,
Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Rural District, in terms
of Order bearing No.LNDRUO.69/1981-82. It is contented
that the name of the petitioner has been entered in the
revenue records. However, after computerisation the
name of the petitioner has been discontinued from the
RTC. Therefore, the prayer in the writ petition is to issue a
writ of mandamus directing the 2nd respondent-Tahsildar,
Bangalore South Taluk, to consider the representations



dated 13.03.2023 and 31.07.2024 at Annexures-D and E to continue the name of the petitioner in the computerized RTC.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Additional Government Advocate and on perusing the petition papers, this Court finds from the material on record that the name of the petitioner was earlier entered in the land revenue records. However, if the Tahsildar is of the opinion that the petitioner has not been granted lands, nevertheless, it is the duty of the Tahsildar to secure the original grant records, Saguvali Chit issue register and other contemporaneous records to satisfy himself regarding the grant made in favour of the petitioner. Moreover, there is no reason why the name of the petitioner which was earlier entered in the land revenue records has been continued after computerization, the Tahsildar is duty bound to look into all these aspects and pass necessary orders.



4. The writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondent No.2-Tahsildar, Bangalore South Taluk, to verify from the records and find out if grant was made in favour of the petitioner and if he has paid the kimath. If it is found from the records that the facts stated hereinabove are true, then the Tahsildar shall proceed to enter the name of the petitioner in the computerised RTC in accordance with law. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**

rv
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 4