



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 3276 OF 2026 (KLR-LG)

BETWEEN:

M. NANJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
S/O. MUNISWAMY,
HULLAHALLI VILLAGE, JIGANI HOBLI,
ANEKAL TALUK,
BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT-560083.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SHIVARAJU M K., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
M. S. BUILDINGS,
BENGALURU - 560 001
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
2. THE TAHSILDAR
ANEKAL TALUK, ANEKAL-562106.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.SHAMANTH NAIK., HCGP)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE
RESPONDENTS TO CONSTITUTE A COMPETENT TRIBUNAL TO
CONSIDER THE APPLICATION DATED 17.01.2019 ANNEXURE A

Digitally signed
by JUANITA
THEJESWINI
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



AND REMINED APPLICATION DATED 02.06.2022 ANNEXURE B
AS PRAYED FOR AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned High Court Government Pleader accepts
notice for all the respondents.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the
application filed in Form No.57 seeking regularization of
unauthorised occupation of lands bearing Survey No.173
measuring 1 acre of Hullahalli Village, Jigani Hobli, Anekal
Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District, has not been considered
till date. In pursuance to the inaction of the respondent
No.2-Tahsildar, the petitioner had submitted
representation to him, found at Annexure-A to consider
their applications in Form No.57. The same has also not
been considered by the respondent-Tahsildar. Therefore,
the prayer in the petition is to direct the respondents to
consider the representation given by the petitioner and



consider the said application of the petitioner in Form No. 57.

3. Having regard to the contentions raised in the writ petition and submission of the learned Counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the considered opinion that respondent No.2-Tahsildar was duty bound to place the applications filed by the petitioners before the 'Committee for Regularization of Unauthorized Occupation' and the Committee was also duty bound to consider the applications and pass necessary orders.

4. Consequently, the writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a specific direction to respondent No.2-Tahsildar, Anekal Taluk, Anekal, to verify from the records as to whether such application filed by the petitioner, is pending consideration. If it is found that such application was indeed filed by the petitioner and the application is yet to be considered, the respondent-Tahsildar is directed to place before the Committee, the application along with his recommendation within a period of four weeks from the



date of receipt of a copy of this order. Thereafter, the Committee shall consider the application and pass necessary orders in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of two months thereafter.

Ordered accordingly.

5. Learned High Court Government Pleader is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

KLY
CT: JL