



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 2643 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI. OBALA NARASIMHAIAH
S/O RANGAIAH
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
R/AT KOLALAKUNTE VILLAGE
KORA HOBLI, HALDODDERI POST
TUMAKUR TALUK AND DISTRICT – 572 128.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SOMASHEKHARAIAH R.P, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
M.S. BUILDING
BENGALURU – 560 001.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TUMAKURU TALUK
TUMAKURU DISTRICT,
TUMAKUR – 572 101.
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
TUMAKURU TALUK
TUMAKURU DISTRICT,
TUMAKUR – 572 101.

Digitally signed
by
SHARADAVANI
B
Location: High
Court of
Karnataka



4. THE TAHASILDAR
TUMAKURU TALUK
TUMAKURU DISTRICT
TUMAKUR - 572 101.
5. THE REVENUE INSPECTOR
KORA HOBLI
SHAMBONAHALLI CIRCLE,
TUMAKURU TALUK,
TUMAKURU DISTRICT - 572 128.
6. THE REVENUE SECRETARY
KORA HOBLI
SHAMBONAHALLI CIRCLE,
TUMAKURU TALUK,
TUMAKURU DISTRICT - 572 128.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NAVYA SHEKHAR, AGA)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO 1) ISSUE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NO. 2 TO 5 TO TAKE NECESSARY STEPS TO ENTER THE NAME OF THE PETITIONER IN THE COMPUTERIZED PAHANI BY CONSIDERING THE REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE RESPONDENT NO. 3, DATED. 23-11-2006 AND 19-03-2010 IN RESPECT OF LAND BEARING SY. NO. 15/8 MEASURING 4 ACRE 7 GUNTAS SITUATED AT KOLALAKUNTE VILLAGE, KORA HOBLI, TUMAKURU TALUK AND DISTRICT UNDER ANNEXURE E AND F AND ETC.,



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice of all the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the petitioner that the father of the petitioner was granted 4 acres and 7 guntas of land in Survey No.15/8 of Kolalakunte Village, Kora Hobli, Tumkur Taluk and District in the year 1959-60, pursuant to which, saguvali chit was also issued to the petitioner's father and his name was entered in the revenue records. However, after computerisation the name of the petitioner's father has not been continued in the revenue records. On the other hand, in column No.9, it is shown as 'banjaru bhoomi'.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Additional Government Advocate and on perusing the petition papers, this Court finds from



the material on record that the name of the petitioner was earlier entered in the land revenue records. However, if the Tahsildar is of the opinion that the petitioner's father has not been granted lands, nevertheless, it is the duty of the Tahsildar to secure the original grant records, Saguvali Chit issue register and other contemporaneous records to satisfy himself regarding the grant made in favour of the petitioner. Moreover, there is no reason why the name of the petitioner which was earlier entered in the land revenue records has been continued after computerization, the Tahsildar is duty bound to look into all these aspects and pass necessary orders.

4. The writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondent No.4-Tahsildar, Tumkuru Taluk, to verify from the records and find out if grant was made in favour of the petitioner's father and if he has paid the kimath. If it is found from the records that the facts stated hereinabove are true, then the Tahsildar shall proceed to enter the name of the petitioner in the computerised RTC



in accordance with law. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**

rv
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 9