HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:16295
WP NO.2163 OF 2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH**

**WRIT PETITION NO.2163 OF 2026 (EDN-RES)**

**BETWEEN:**

MR. G. SASHANTH
S/O SRI. J. GOPIKRISHNA,
AGED ABOUT 19 YEARS,
R/AT NO.A-103,
SKYGOLD ELEGANCE APARTMENT,
CHOKKANAHALLI MAIN ROAD,
OPP. TO PROVIDENT HARMONY APARTMENT,
DR. SHIVARAMAKARANTHNAGAR,
BENGALURU NORTH,
BENGALURU - 560 077.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SUBRAMANYA S. UPASANA, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION (UGC)
   BAHUDUR SHAN ZAFAR MARG,
   NEW DELHI - 110 002.
   REP. BY THE REGISTRAR.

2. R.V. UNIVERSITY,
   R.V. VIDYANIKETAN,
   8TH MILE, MYSURU ROAD,
   BENGALURU - 560 059.
   REP. BY ITS REGISTRAR.

3. STUDENT DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE,
   R.V. UNIVERSITY,
   R.V. VIDYANIKETAN,
   8TH MILE, MYSURU ROAD,
   BENGALURU - 560 059.
   REP. BY THE CHAIRPERSON.

Digitally signed by
CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

4. THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
R.V. UNIVERSITY,
R.V. VIDYANIKETAN,
8TH MILE, MYSURU ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 059.

5. AADYA D. PAWAR,
D/O DEEPAK G. PAWAR,
AGED ABOUT 19 YEARS,
R/AT NO. 40/3,
SABURI PATANKAR LAYOUT,
13TH CROSS, 6TH MAIN,
MALLESWARAM,
BENGALURU - 560 003.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SHOWRI H.R., ADVOCATE FOR R1;
SRI. SOMASHEKAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2 TO R4;
SRI. THILAK RAJ S.V., ADVOCATE FOR R5)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF TE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DECLARE THAT THE RE-ISSUANCE OF SHOW CAUSE NOTICE DATED 18TH AUGUST, 2025 BY THE RESPONDENT NO.3 VIDE ANNEXURE-H1 AND INITIATION OF ENQUIRY AGAINST THE PETITIONER ON THE BASIS OF THE SELF-SERVING AND MOTIVATED REPORT OF THE FATHER OF THE RESPONDENT NO.5 VIDE ANNEXURE-G SERIES DEPRIVED THE PETITIONER FROM PURSUING HIS STUDIES IS *PER SE* ARBITRARY, BAD IN LAW AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL; QUASH THE ORDER DATED 22ND DECEMBER, 2025 PASSED BY THE STUDENT DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE HEADED BY THE DEAN STUDENT AFFAIRS VIDE ANNEXURE-N; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

**ORAL ORDER**

In this writ petition, the petitioner is challenging the action of the respondent No.2-University in barring the petitioner from entering into the respondent No.2-University premises in compliance with the direction issued by this Court in Writ Petition No.32079 of 2025 (Annexure-M).

2. Heard Sri. Subramanya S. Upasana, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner; Sri. Showri H.R., learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.1; Sri. Somashekar, learned counsel appearing for respondents 2 to 4; and Sri. Thilak Raj S.V., learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.5.

3. Sri. Subramanya S. Upasana, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner invited the attention of the Court to the decision taken by the respondent No.3-Student Disciplinary Committee at Annexure-E and submitted that the said Committee had condoned the allegations made against the petitioner by issuing a warning to the petitioner for alleged acts of indiscipline in the respondent No.2-Univesity. Learned counsel further submits that, in furtherance of the warning

issued by the respondent No.3-Committee, the petitioner has not misbehaved with anyone much less the respondent No.5 herein, however, the respondent No.2-Univesity barred the petitioner from entering into the premises of the respondent No.2-University. Accordingly, he sought for interference of this Court.

4. Per contra, Sri. Somashekar, learned counsel appearing for respondents 2 to 4 refers to the Annexure-R3K and submitted the conduct of the petitioner herein has been followed and video clips shows that the petitioner continued to be misbehaved with the respondent No.5 and therefore, the action has been taken against the petitioner.

5. Sri. Thilak Raj S.V., learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.5 submits that the endeavour has to be made to protect the interest of the respondent No.5. Accordingly, he sought for dismissal of the petition.

6. In the light of the submission made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, on perusal of the decision taken by the respondent No.3-Committee particularly at Annexure-E, would indicate that the petitioner and his parents

have visited the respondent No.2-Univesity and assured with an undertaking that the petitioner herein will not misbehave with the respondent No.5 and accordingly, the respondent No.3-Committee condoned the misbehaving character of the petitioner with the respondent No.5. On perusal of the order dated 07th November, 2025 (Annexure-M) passed in Writ Petition No.32079 of 2025, the same would indicate that, this Court directed the respondent No.3-Committee to consider the reply that may be made by the petitioner and to take decision in the matter. In compliance of the order passed by this Court, the respondent No.3-Committe passed an impugned order dated 22nd December, 2025 (Annexure-N), wherein the petitioner was permanently barred from entering into the respondent No.2-University. Perusal of the records would indicate that, there is no material before the respondent No.2-University to take decision against the petitioner pursuant to condonation of the misbehaving activities of the petitioner after the decision taken by the respondent No.3-Committee as per Annexure-E. In that view of the matter, impugned order dated 22nd December, 2025 (Annexure-N) passed by the respondent No.3-Committee and the Appellate order passed by the

respondent No.4-Vice Chancellor produced at Annexure-S are hereby quashed. However, in order to protect the interest of the respondent No.5, the petitioner is directed to file an affidavit before this Court stating that, he will abide by the regulations of the respondent No.2-University and he shall not interfere with the respondent No.5 henceforth. The said affidavit shall be filed by the petitioner within one week from the date of receipt of this order. In the result, writ petition is ***allowed.***

7. In view of allowing the writ petition, the respondent No.2-University shall allow the petitioner to pursue the **B-Tech(Hons.)degree* in the respondent No.2-University as per their rules and regulations.

**Sd/-**
**(E.S.INDIRESH)**
**JUDGE**

ARK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 68

* corrected vide Court Order dated 07.04.2026.