



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1782 OF 2026 (EDN-RES)

BETWEEN:

SMT KEROLINE J CRASTA
D/o SRI JACOB CRASTA
AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS
RESIDING AT DANDEKAJE MANE,
SAMPANJE POST, SULLIA TALUK
DAKSHINA KANNADA -574 234

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BHARGAVA D BHAT.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE PRINCIPAL
KVG LAW COLLEGE,
SULLIA, JATTIPALLA,
KARNATAKA-574 239

2. THE REGISTRAR
KARNATAKA STATE LAW UNIVERSITY (KSLU)
NAVANAGAR, HUBBALLI
KARNATAKA 580 025

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT SARITHA KULKARNI, ADVOCATE FOR R2, R1 SERVED)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE
RESPONDENTS TO REGULARIZE THE PETITIONERS ADMISSION
TO THE 3-YEAR LL.B. COURSE FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2025-
26 AND PERMIT HER TO REMIT THE EXAMINATION FEES
FORTHWITH AND ETC.,

Digitally signed
by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he is not pressing I.A.No.1/2026 and I.A.No.2/2026.

2. Submission of the learned counsel is placed on record and accordingly, I.A.No.1/2026 and I.A.No.2/2026 are dismissed as not pressed.

3. It is contended by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that, the petitioner has joined the respondent No.1-college to pursue LLB, under respondent No.2-University. It is contended by learned counsel that, the petitioner has passed two years Pre-university Job Oriented Course as equivalent to PUC or plus 2 course for the purpose of admission to 3 year LLB course as per Annexure-C, and in that view of the matter,



he relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Rakesh Shetty Vs. State of Karnataka and others*** in ***WP No.31737/2024***, disposed of on 09.12.2024, and sought for a direction to the respondents to regularize the admission of the petitioner to the 3 year LLB course for the academic year 2025-26.

4. It is also submitted that, as per the interim order granted by this Court, the petitioner has taken First Semester Examination and the results are to be announced in the said examination.

5. Per contra, Smt. Saritha Kulkarni, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-University, submitted that, the petitioner has not studied the language as one of the subjects in the JOC course and therefore distinguished the case of the petitioner and accordingly sought for dismissal of the petition.

6. In light of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and on careful



consideration of Annexure B2, wherein, the petitioner has chosen languages Kannada and English and has further passed the examination conducted in JOC. Taking into consideration the Order dated 09.12.2024 passed by this Court in WP No.31737/2024, I am of the view that the petitioner is eligible to take the LLB course under the respondent No.2-University. Accordingly I pass the following:

ORDER

- i) The writ petition is **allowed**.
- ii) The writ of mandamus is issued to the respondents to regularize the admission of the petitioner to the 3 year LLB course for the academic year 2025-26.
- iii) It is also made clear that, in terms of the interim order dated 22.01.2026, the petitioner has taken first semester of LLB course and has appeared in the examination. In that view of the matter, the



**NC: 2026:KHC:23360
WP No. 1782 of 2026**

respondents are directed to announce the result of
the petitioner.

Ordered accordingly.

**Sd/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

HR
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 4