



CNR: KAHC010027542024

NC: 2026:KHC:40626
RPFC No. 8 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF AUGUST, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

REV.PET FAMILY COURT NO. 8 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

1. SMT HARSHITHA S. RAJ,
W/O. SRI. P. SUBHASH,
D/O SRI. B. SRINIVASARAJU
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS
2. MASTER VIHAAN SURYA
S/O SRI. P.V SUBHASH
AGED ABOUT 5 YEARS

PETITIONER NO.2 IS MINOR AND
HE IS BEING REPRESENTING BY HIS
NATURAL GUARDIAN MOTHER
THE PETITIONER NO.1

Digitally signed
by AASEEFA
PARVEEN

Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

BOTH ARE R/AT NO. 56,
9TH CROSS, 1ST BLOCK
RAMAKRISHNAGAR
MYSORE- 570 022
REPRESENTING THROUGH HER
GPA HOLDER SMT. MAMATH.M
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS
W/O B. SRINIASARAJU
R/AT NO. 56, 9TH CROSS
1ST BLOCK, RAMAKRISHNAGARA,
MYSURU -570 022.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. ARJUN C., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. RAVINDRA B S.,ADVOCATE)



CNR: KAHC010027542024

NC: 2026:KHC:40626
RPFC No. 8 of 2024

AND:

MR. P. V. SUBHASH,
S/O SRI. P. VASUDEVA,
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS,
NO.8-11-184/5,
BOOLMANDADODDI ROAD,
SRI KRISHNADEARAYA COLONY,
RAICHUR - 584 101.
ALTERNATIVE ADDRESS:

NETWORK ENGINEER
JIO INFO COM LTD.,
TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICE
PROVIDER IN RAICHUR,
ANAGHA COMPLEX,
LINGASUR ROAD,
NEAR CHANDRAMOLESHWAR CHOWK
RAICHUR - 584 101

SRI. P.V. SUBHASH
R/AT NO.125, 4TH CROSS
2ND MAIN ROAD,
VIJAYANAGAR I STAGE,
MYSURU - 570 017.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. VINOD S., ADVOCATE)

THIS RPFC FILED UNDER SECTION 19(4) OF FAMILY COURT ACT, AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 31.08.2023 PASSED IN CRL.MISC.NO.508/2022 ON THE FILE OF THE PRINCIPAL JUDGE, FAMILY COURT MYSURU, PARTLY ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED UNDER SECTION 125(1) OF Cr.P.C FOR MAINTENANCE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA



NC: 2026:KHC:40626
RPFC No. 8 of 2024

CNR: KAHC010027542024

ORAL ORDER

Sri.Arjun representing Sri.Ravindra B.S., learned counsel on record for the petitioners submit that petitioners are not evincing interest in the matter.

This Court through order dated 23.06.2026 directed petitioners to file translated copies of the documents which are not in English language. On 27.07.2026, learned counsel who represented petitioners sought time and accordingly time was granted. However, petitioners have not chosen to file the translated copies. On this day, learned counsel who represents the petitioners states that petitioners are not interested in pursuing the matter.

Having considered these facts, this Court is of the view that the revision petition is required to be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Therefore, the revision petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
(DR.CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA)
JUDGE