



CNR: KAHC010012832026

NC: 2026:KHC:47140-DB
CCC No. 18 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 31ST DAY OF AUGUST, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI

AND

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 18 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

R.A.HIREWODEYAR
S/O ANANDANAGOUDA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
R/AT 112, 1ST MAIN ROAD,
VIJAYANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 040.

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. KRISHNA NAIK., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SHIVAKUMAR.K
THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
CENTRAL OFFICE, SHANTHINAGAR,
K.H.ROAD, BENGALURU-560 027.
2. MANJUSHREE.R
THE CHIEF ACCOUNTS OFFICER,
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
CENTRAL OFFICE, SHANTHINAGAR,
K.H.ROAD, BENGALURU-560 027.
3. K.H.RAMESHA BABU
THE DEPOT MANAGER,
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN

Digitally signed by
SUMATHY KANNAN
Location: CHIEF
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



CNR: KAHC010012832026

NC: 2026:KHC:47140-DB
CCC No. 18 of 2026

TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
CENTRAL OFFICE, SHANTHINAGAR,
K.H.ROAD, BANGALORE-560 027.

...ACCUSED

(BY SMT. RENUKA.H.R., ADVOCATE)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT, 1971, WHEREIN PRAYS THAT THE HON'BLE COURT BE PLEASED TO TAKE ACTION AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR HAVING DISOBEYED THE ORDERS PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN W.P.NO.18679/2022 (L-KSRTC) DATED 15.01.2025 AND TO PASS SUCH OTHER ORDER OR ORDERS AND TO AWARD COSTS OF THIS COMPLAINT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI
&
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

Heard the learned counsel for the complainant.

2. The learned counsel for the complainant states that as per the order of the writ court, the respondents were directed to consider the representations marked as Annexures K, M and P within two months, which was not done. Notice was issued to the accused.



CNR: KAHC010012832026

**NC: 2026:KHC:47140-DB
CCC No. 18 of 2026**

3. The learned counsel appearing for the accused has referred to paragraph No.4 of the order, contempt of which is alleged, to contend that the court categorically recorded that the issue in the representations related to non-payment of interest on account of alleged delayed payment of salary. Accordingly, the representations were directed to be decided.

4. A compliance affidavit has been filed today, by Sri.K.H.Ramesh Babu, Depot Manager of BMTC. In paragraph No.6 of which it is stated as follows:

"6. The claim of the complainant was examined and he was paid a sum of Rs.8,11,130 vide cheque dated 23.7.2021. The order passed in W.P.No.2246/2021 is dated 2.3.2021 and the amount was required to be paid on or before 2.6.2021 and the same was paid on 23.7.2021. Hence there was a delay of 1 month and four days, for this period the corporation was required to pay interest at 8% pa on Rs.8,11,140 from 2.6.2021 to 23.7.2021 This amount was quantified at Rs.7640, a cheque dated 20.3.2026 was prepared in the name of the complainant for a sum of Rs.7640 and was sought to be given to the complainant when he visited the central office. The complainant however declined to receive the



CNR: KAHC010012832026

same, copy of the cheque drawn in the name of the complainant dated 20.3.2026 is produced and marked as Annexure R1."

5. Further, paragraph Nos.7 and 8 of the compliance affidavit reads as follows:

"7. It is respectfully submitted that in compliance with the order dated 15.1.2025, the representations submitted by the complainant was examined sum of Rs.7640 was sought to be paid towards Interest. This amount represented the interest claimed by the complainant for the delay period in paying the arrears i.e., for 1 month and 4 days.

8. The complainant has been issued with an endorsement dated 27.8.2026 in compliance with the order dated 15.1.2025 in W.P No 18679/2022 and the same was sent by RPAD to the complainant. True copies of the endorsement dated 27.8.2026 and copy of postal acknowledgement are produced and marked as Annexure R2 and R3."

6. It is further stated that the Security Officer of the Corporation sought to serve the endorsement on the complainant in person on 27.08.2026 at his residence. His wife and son were present who informed that the



CNR: KAHC010012832026

complainant had gone outside and did not receive the endorsement.

7. Today, the learned counsel for the accused has referred to the Annexure R2, to contend that the amount of cheque that was prepared pursuant to the direction of the court, is available with her which she is prepared to hand over to the learned counsel for the complainant. The learned counsel for the complainant, however, states that he has no instructions to receive the same.

8. Since, we are of the considered opinion that the order of the writ court has been complied with, the contempt petition is consigned. It shall be open for the complainant to collect the cheque from the office of the respondent/accused.

Sd/-
(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(TARA VITASTA GANJU)
JUDGE