



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 12TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VENKATESH NAIK T

WRIT PETITION NO.932 OF 2024 (LA-BDA)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI V.T. MUNIVENKATAPPA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
S/O. LATE SRI THAMMAIAH
RESIDING AT NO.11
VEERASAGARA VILLAGE
YELAHANKA HOBLI
YELAHANKA TALUK
BENGALURU-560 064.

2. SRI HARISH GOWDA V.R.
S/O. LATE SRI RAMESH
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.11
VEERASAGARA VILLAGE
YELAHANKA HOBLI
YELAHANKA TALUK
BENGALURU-560 064.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI CLIFTON D' ROZARIO, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
THROUGH SECRETARY
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
VIKASA SOUDHA
BENGALURU-560 001.

Digitally
signed by
ANJALI M
Location:
High Court
of
Karnataka



2. THE BENGALURU DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
THROUGH COMMISSIONER
NO.20, T. CHOWDAIAH ROAD
KUMARA PARK WEST
BENGALURU-560 020.
3. THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER-4
DR. SHIVARAM KARANTH LAYOUT
BENGALURU DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
NO.20, T. CHOWDAIAH ROAD
KUMARA PARK WEST
BENGALURU-560 020.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. HEMALATHA V., AGA FOR R-1;
SRI SHIVAPRASAD M. SHANTANAGOUDAR,
ADVOCATE FOR R-2 AND R-3)

* * *

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO 1) ISSUE AN APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION TO QUASH/SET ASIDE THE PRELIMINARY NOTIFICATION NO.BDA/DC(LA)/67/2022-23 DATED 19-8-2022 PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL GAZETTE DATED 23-8-2022 (PLACED AS ANNEXURE-A) AND ETC.

THIS WRIT PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VENKATESH NAIK T



ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA)

The petitioners have challenged the notification seeking to acquire the lands of the petitioners and in the alternative have sought for compensation to be paid to them under the new Land Acquisition Act, 2013. On the earlier occasion, this Court had directed the petitioners to secure instructions as to whether the petitioners would be willing to take compensation in terms of the scheme i.e., 60:40 ratio, that is now determined for the purpose of grant of compensation in the lands that are acquired for the formation of Dr. Shivaram Karanth layout.

2. The learned counsel Sri. Clifton Rosario appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are ready and willing for taking compensation in terms of the scheme 60:40 ratio and the petitioners/parties, who are present before the Court also would submit the same.

3. In that light, we deem it appropriate to dispose the subject petition with a direction to the BDA to determine compensation in terms of the scheme 60:40 ratio, as is now determined to all lands that have become the subject matter of acquisition of Dr. Shivaram Karanth layout and disperse the



same as expeditiously as possible. Insofar as the sites that are to be given to the land losers, the learned counsel for the petitioners' submit that they be considered for grant of sites in the vicinity of the remaining lands that are now acquired.

4. Learned counsel Sri. Shivaprasad M. Shantanagoudar representing respondent Nos.2 and 3/BDA would submit that a direction to consider a particular site would not be granted, but, in the nearest vicinity as far as possible, the BDA would consider the case of the petitioners and draw up a site accordingly. The said submission is placed on record.

In the said circumstance, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with the aforesaid directions.

In view of disposal of the main petition, all pending IAs, if any, stand disposed of.

**Sd/-
(M.NAGAPRASANNA)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(VENKATESH NAIK T)
JUDGE**